1	CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER
2	STATE OF COLORADO
3	Senate Meeting
4	Held on March 8, 2013
5	HOUSE BILL 13-1229
6	
7	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
8	
9	
10	This transcript was taken from an audio
11	recording by Elissa Steen, Registered Professional Reporter
12	and Notary Public.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * * * *
3	MR. MAJORS: House Bill 1229 by
4	Representatives Fields and McCann and Senator
5	Carroll, concerning criminal background checks
6	performed pursuant to the transfer of a firearm, and
7	in connection therewith, making an appropriation.
8	THE PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE: Majority
9	Leader Carroll.
10	MAJORITY LEADER CARROLL: Thank you,
11	Mr. Chair.
12	I move House Bill 1229, the State,
13	Veteran, Military Affairs Committee report, and the
14	Appropriation's Committee report.
15	THE PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE: To the
16	committee report, Senator
17	MAJORITY LEADER CARROLL: Thank you,
18	Mr. Chair.
19	In the State, Veterans, Military Affairs
20	Committee on this, let me walk through the committee
21	report. A few changes were made there in response
22	to some points that had been raised in testimony and
23	from the public.
24	The first thing, on page 1 of the
25	committee report, if you look at it, some folks were

1 concerned about the potential for a new loophole on 2 getting around it by yet again if a criminal -- some 3 of the criminal gun traffickers could use a trust to 4 essentially do a purchase, one version of a 5 strawman, if you will, to get around it. So this is 6 just tightening that up to make sure that that 7 loophole would not be in here.

8 The second thing we do is that when a 9 member goes on the FFL, we are clarifying that it is 10 both the buyer and seller who would get a copy of 11 sort of the receipt of approval or denial for their 12 records, should they choose to keep it in addition 13 to the FFL.

14 The third thing we did is that we expanded 15 the definition of immediate family. This is in a 16 provision that is an exception to the bill, if you 17 will. Anytime anyone is transferring a firearm to a 18 family member, they obviously don't have to go 19 through a background check.

20 And this is expanding the definition of 21 immediate family beyond the original, which includes 22 nieces, nephews, aunts, uncles, and first cousins, 23 that were not in the first draft.

The next and probably the most significant substantive changes that were made in response to 1 many examples that had been raised by people, it 2 adds to catch-all the provisions. And this will 3 make a little bit more sense when we get into the content of the bill proper. But much of the prior 4 5 discussion and debate and testimony included coming 6 up with some hypothetical and real examples of where 7 totally appropriate, legitimate transfers may be 8 going where a background check does not make sense.

9 The first of these catch-all exceptions is that a person who is basically selling or 10 11 transferring a firearm can do that to anyone they 12 want, really, as long as they're -- they remain in 13 the presence of the firearm. And all of these are 14 subject -- of course you can't transfer to someone 15 who's a convicted felon or otherwise prohibited. 16 But whatever that transfer is, this is one catch-all 17 scenario where the owner can remain with the gun and 18 loan it to whoever they want, as long as it's not 19 illegal.

And the second catch-all transfer really allows you to loan outside of your presence to anyone you want for up to 72 hours, as long as, again, you're not handing it over to someone who is a convicted criminal.

These are two important catch-all sections

that were offered because there's a variety of legitimate sort of temporary-transfer situations where a background situation would not make sense. The next thing that we did, these are really in the vein of a technical clean-up with the

judicial department. You will notice that it is

6

7 changing the clerk of the court with the state court 8 administrator because that's how the current 9 background check system works. And in the context 10 of the bill, that is where the data transfer's 11 happening. So that is making sure that we are 12 identifying the correct source of data transfer on 13 that.

14 The other thing we're doing, one of the 15 improvements that's happening in the bill, some of 16 you may have recognized one of the criticisms to 17 some of the current system can be staleness of data upload and download, which can either lead to a 18 19 false allowance of somebody who is criminally 20 ineligible to purchase a gun being able to do so, or conversely, someone who has had their rights 21 22 restored, is no longer prohibited, and basically a 23 false denial on that situation.

24 So this has a transfer of data within 48 25 hours of when that happens.

1	One of the smaller changes of what was
2	happening in the to the original section of the
3	bill, you will have noticed that there are two
4	restoration of rights sections that actually add to
5	the current due process for restoration of rights on
6	that. And within that there is a technical
7	amendment that clarifies that the appellate court
8	has discretion to receive additional information, if
9	necessary, for a review.
10	I do that walk-through because it may
11	impact those are substantive policy changes from
12	the original version of the bill. Those were the
13	amendments that we did in the judiciary or
14	judiciary committee in the State, Veterans,
15	Military Affairs Committee.
16	And I would ask for an aye vote on the
17	committee report.
18	THE CHAIRWOMAN: Is there any discussion
19	on the committee report?
20	Seeing none, the motion before you is to
21	accept the State, Veterans, and Military Affairs
22	Committee report.
23	All those in favor say aye.
24	All those opposed, no.
25	Committee report is adopted.

1

2

3

To Appropriation's Committee report. MAJORITY LEADER CARROLL: Thank you, Madame Chair.

4	I on the Appropriation's Committee
5	report, the appropriation's clause, you will have
6	noticed, was a contingent clause, one cash-funded,
7	one general-funded. The change that was done in
8	appropriations was front load the funding such that
9	the Colorado Bureau of Investigations could be ready
10	to come into compliance in an important way to make
11	sure that we weren't causing any kind of delays with
12	implementation or for people who are seeking a
13	background check for their purchase.
14	And I would ask for an aye on the
15	Appropriation's Committee report.
16	THE CHAIRWOMAN: Any discussion on the
17	Appropriation's Committee report?
18	You've heard the motion. All those in
19	favor say aye oh, I'm sorry, Senator Harvey.
20	This is on the appropriations?
21	SENATOR HARVEY: No.
22	THE CHAIRWOMAN: Okay. On the
23	Appropriation's Committee report approval?
24	So all those in favor say aye.
25	All those in opposed, no.

1 The committee report is accepted -- is 2 adopted. 3 To the bill. 4 MAJORITY LEADER CARROLL: Thank you, 5 Madame Chair. THE CHAIRWOMAN: No, I'm -- I'm sorry. 6 7 Senator Carroll, there is an amendment on the -- on the 30 -- Amendment 30. 8 9 Mr. Majors, could you please read the 10 Amendment 30? Sorry about that. THE READING CLERK: Amendment L.030 to the 11 12 House Bill 1229 by Senator Carroll, amend the State and Senate State, Veterans, Military Affairs 13 14 Committee report dated March 4, 2013, page, 2, after line 4, insert --15 16 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Senator Carroll. 17 MAJORITY LEADER CARROLL: Thank you, 18 Madame Chair. Members, I move Amendment 30. 19 20 And this is a technical amendment that was brought to me by the drafter. This is a grammatical 21 adjustment in light of the substantive changes we 22 23 had made in the others that was caught this morning. 24 I had it distributed to your desks so you could see for yourself the grammatical clean-up 25

```
1
      nature of the amendment.
 2
              And I would just ask for an aye vote on
 3
      Amendment 30.
              THE CHAIRWOMAN: Is there any discussion
 4
 5
      on Amendment 30?
 6
              Seeing none, the motion is for the
 7
      adoption of Amendment 30.
 8
              All those in favor say aye.
 9
              All those opposed, no.
              The amendment is adopted.
10
11
              There is another amendment on the desk,
      Amendment 31.
12
              Senator Harvey.
13
14
              Mr. Majors, would you please read the
15
      Amendment 31.
16
              MR. MAJORS: Amendment L.031 by -- the
17
      House Bill 1229 by Senator Harvey to strike the
18
      Senate Appropriation's Committee reported dated
      March 6th --
19
20
              THE CHAIRWOMAN: Senator Harvey.
21
              SENATOR HARVEY: Thank you, Madame Chair.
22
              This is in -- thank you, Madame Chair. I
      move Amendment 031, and it is in accordance with a
23
24
      previous amendment that had been offered on this
      floor by the chairman of the Joint Budget Committee.
25
```

1 And because of the amendments that we just 2 passed in State Affairs, where we have taken out 3 some of the transfers, and there really is no known amount of transfers that is will be going on with 4 5 this bill, because who knows how many people will be 6 transferring between family members and -- and 7 non-family members. It's almost impossible for us 8 to know that.

9 Historically, when the total number of background check requests has exceeded the Bureau's 10 11 ability to process them in a timely manner, the 12 Bureau was able to shift resources from elsewhere to 13 meet the temporary increase in demand without 14 requiring any additional spending or hiring 15 authority. And remember, this bill has a 2.4 16 million dollar fiscal note. I don't understand why 17 that is the case.

18 So in light of the uncertain fiscal impact 19 of requiring additional background checks on firearms sales and transfers, it is the intent of 20 21 the General Assembly that any resulting increase in 22 the national instant criminal background check 23 program workload will be offset through regular 24 supplemental appropriations processes. 25 Therefore, the General Assembly has

```
1
      determined that this Act can be implemented within
 2
      existing appropriation; and therefore, no separate
 3
      appropriations of state monies is necessary to carry
 4
      out the purpose of this act.
 5
              There is impact of this bill on the
      citizens of the State of Colorado. There is no
 6
 7
      impact to the citizens of the State of Colorado for
 8
      the state to be requiring them to do a background
 9
      check to transfer between husband and wife, or any
10
      other way. If this is an important statewide
11
      concern, we should be doing it out of the state
12
      budget and doing it within a current appropriations.
13
      This amendment simply says that is the way we've
14
      done it in the past and that's the way we'll do it
15
      in the future.
16
              I'd ask for an aye vote.
17
              THE CHAIRWOMAN: Any discussion on the
18
      amendment?
19
              Senator Carroll.
20
              MAJORITY LEADER CARROLL: Thank you,
21
      Madame Chair.
               I want to thank Senator Harvey for helping
22
23
      get the fiscal note off my bill. I really
      appreciate that.
24
25
              Oh, not -- some well-esteemed Senator from
```

1 Douglas County. So my apologies on that. 2 The reality, though, is if we could do 3 this within existing means, I think it would actually be an unfair burden to gun owners 4 5 everywhere because it would probably take three 6 years to get your background check. That's a little 7 long. 8 And so while I do appreciate the spirit in 9 which this is offered, and I wish we could do it 10 within existing means, I would respectfully ask for a no vote on this amendment. 11 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Further discussion on 12 13 Amendment 31? 14 Seeing none, the motion before you is to 15 adopt Amendment 31. 16 All those in favor say aye. 17 All those opposed, no. 18 And that motion fails. One more. There is an amendment on the 19 20 desk. 21 And, Mr. Majors, would you please read Amendment 33. 22 MR. MAJORS: Amendment L.033 to House Bill 23 24 1229 by Senator Carroll, amend the Appropriation's Committee report dated March 6th --25

1 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Senator Carroll. Senator 2 Carroll. 3 MAJORITY LEADER CARROLL: Oh, thank you, 4 Madame Chair. 5 Members, I move L.033. This is another technical amendment. 6 7 There was some language that was left off on the 8 Appropriation's Committee report. I have also asked 9 that it be distributed to you so you can see the 10 language it is of what should have been included and wasn't. 11 12 This too is a technical cleanup amendment, and I would just ask for an aye vote. 13 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Any discussion on 14 15 Amendment 33? 16 Seeing none, the motion before us is to 17 adopt Amendment 33. 18 All those in favor say aye. 19 All those opposed, no. 20 And the amendment is adopted. 21 Senator Carroll. 22 MAJORITY LEADER CARROLL: Thank you, 23 Madame Chair. 24 Members, I'm very proud to be here today to bring you House Bill 1229. I know and respect 25

1 how intense, obviously, the issues are around these 2 issues, and where everyone is coming from, where I 3 think we all believe we are defending deeply held principles. And for that, I thank everybody for 4 5 participating in this. 6 I do think everyone agrees that 7 law-abiding citizens should be able to own firearms, 8 and that guns should be kept out of the hands of 9 dangerous criminals. 10 As we all know, it is currently illegal for someone convicted of certain crimes to own or 11 12 possess a firearm. That's illegal right now under 13 state law. It's illegal under federal law. 14 How would we ever know when we buy or sell 15 a gun whether someone is a dangerous, convicted 16 felon but for a background check? There is zero other way to know. We can't know unless we do a 17 18 background check. 19 If we don't have a meaningful background 20 check system, we are essentially giving a nod and a wink to the prohibition that keeps guns out of the 21 hands of the folks who are convicted murders, 22 23 convicted rapists, or those convicted of domestic 24 violence. But for the background check, there is zero way for us to ever enforce the state or federal 25

law prohibiting those folks, not the law-abiding
 folks, prohibiting those folks from being able to
 purchase or possess.

House Bill 1229 simply requires the exact
same background check before a private purchase of a
gun that we use when a gun is purchased from a
licensed dealer or a gun show.

8 Back when we were first implementing the 9 background check for licensed gun dealers and for 10 qun show folks, at that time the Internet was still 11 in its infancy. And what was maybe a rare and novel 12 mechanism for how guns, for example, on online 13 posting boards are really a modern phenomenon that 14 our prior laws really weren't drafted to contemplate 15 and keep up.

16 Why am I carrying this bill? I'm carrying 17 this bill because gun violence has become an 18 epidemic. And while 34 Americans die on average 19 every day as a result of guns, this issue hit home 20 personally for me on July 20, 2012, when 70 people were shot down at the Aurora Century 16 Theater in 21 22 my district this summer, fatally injuring 12 people. 23 And just when I couldn't imagine how it

24 could get any worse, on December 14, 2012, 2025 children were shot and killed, along with six adults

- 1
- at Sandy Hook Elementary School.

2 There are 310 million guns in America, and 3 314 million people in America. America still has one of the highest 4 5 homicide rates amongst any of the developed 6 countries, at 4.7 murders for every 100,000 people. 7 72 percent of all homicides in this country involve 8 guns. And every year, guns are responsible for 9 8,583 homicides, 19,392 suicides, and 606 accidents. 10 There are more gun deaths each year in the 11 United States than total war casualties in Iraq and 12 Afghanistan over a 12-year period of time. 13 Enough is enough. Closing the 14 private-sale loophole is a meaningful way to keep 15 guns out of the hands of dangerous people, because a 16 high percentage of killers were known to have had 17 a -- 71 percent -- had a prior arrest record, 18 42 percent a prior conviction that would have 19 triggered a denial of a gun purchase at any licensed 20 dealer, any gun show, and with passage of this bill, 21 at any private transaction. These -- those folks are not the 22 23 law-abiding folks, and those are the folks whose hands we need to keep guns out of so that everybody 24 else is free to continue to enjoy their Second 25

Amendment rights, but not those who are dangerous
 criminals.

3 As you probably know by now, we've been requiring background checks at licensed gun dealers 4 5 since 1993, and for purchases at gun shows in 6 Colorado, by way of ballots since 2002, making 7 effective use of FFLs. This bill builds on the exact same 8 9 existing infrastructure to use in order to reduce 10 arms trafficking and help keep guns out of the hands 11 of convicted felons and those who are dangerously 12 mentally ill. 13 Here's what the bill does: The bill 14 closes the private sale and transfer loopholes to 15 the current laws on background checks by using the 16 exact same FFL system that we use on all other 17 background checks. 18 The bill updates the accuracy of the data 19 within the background check process to be virtually 20 near live-time transfer to better ensure that we are both blocking illegal purchases to criminals but 21 22 also by making sure that we are not inappropriately 23 blocking purchases to law-abiding citizens. 24 The bill, as amended, provides a copy of either an approval or a denial to both the buyer and 25

seller, should they wish to keep it for their
 records. They do not have to. The official record
 is kept, not by the government, but by the FFL, just
 like they do in all other background checks
 currently.

6 The bill makes exceptions for private 7 sales or transfers. And I think this is important 8 just to keep up because this has been amended. The 9 exceptions to the requirement of a background check 10 for private sales or transfers include if it's a 11 gift to a family member; if it is inherited by will or estate; if it occurs in the home for need -- for 12 13 purpose of self-defense; for use at a shooting 14 range; at a shooting competition; if it's dropped 15 off for repair; for hunting; fishing; target 16 shooting, at any lawful location, so long as it's 17 not transferred to a convicted felon or a person who 18 is currently federally or by state law prohibited from owning or possessing a firearm. 19

The bill adds enhanced due process to the restoration of rights section for people who were previously prohibited from purchasing guns, either for criminal reasons or for serious mental health reasons, who become eligible for lawful purpose. This adds appellate rights and due-process rights

1 and evidentiary rights and timeliness rights for 2 law-abiding citizens, who, at one point may have 3 been denied who should, in a more expeditious and fair way, get restored in their Second Amendment 4 5 right to purchase a firearm. 6 So why support background checks? Why support closing the loophole on private 7 transactions? This measure is absolutely essential 8 9 if we believe, as a matter of public policy, that we 10 do need to keep guns out of the hands of convicted 11 felons and the dangerously mentally ill, yet, at the same time, allow law-abiding citizens to proceed 12 13 with their purchases. 14 Approximately 40 percent of all guns are 15 sold in private transactions. This loophole, if 16 left unclosed, is so large so as to make it easy, 17 and, in fact, perhaps inviting, for any and every 18 convicted criminal in Colorado, who would otherwise

19 currently be prohibited from buying or owning a gun, 20 to simply skirt the laws with open flagrancy by 21 simply making their purchases privately or on online 22 sales boards.

For lawful, law-abiding gun owners, who are seeking to sell or transfer their firearms, a law-abiding gun owner has no way of knowing when

they, in good faith and in the good conscience, have every right to sale their -- sell their firearm, but that law-abiding seller has no way to know that they're not selling their firearm to a convicted felon, a convicted murderer, a convicted rapist, or someone convicted of domestic violence, but for that background check.

8 I believe this protects the law-abiding 9 seller as well as the public at large.

10 So why? 80 percent of handguns found at 11 crime scenes were acquired through private sellers. 12 Under current law as mentioned, 100 percent of all criminals in Colorado could purchase guns through 13 14 private sellers without a background check. In 15 fact, most criminals, who know they can't pass a 16 background check, would do just that. And yet you'd 17 think, well, why would any criminal then actually go 18 through a process where they're subjected to a 19 background check? Why even do that?

20 We do have data to tell us that background 21 checks work. And while nothing is perfect, and I'll 22 be the first to concede that, this mechanism has 23 detected, even under current law, we have detected 24 and blocked sales of over 700,000 prohibited gun 25 sales that would have gone to criminal, but for the background check. In 2012, Colorado alone, 5,607
 applicants were denied because of background checks
 revealing ineligible, criminal purchasers.

Why do this? We have data that tells us that violent incidents go down in states that require a background check for every handgun sale. Thirty-eight percent fewer women are shot to death by their intimate partners in states that close this loophole.

10 We export fewer guns in the criminal gun 11 trafficking market when we close this loophole. 12 Data show us that after Colorado closed the gun-show 13 loophole, Colorado went from the 17th largest source 14 of guns found at crime scenes in other states down 15 to 32nd by 2009.

16 Why close this loophole? We know from 17 data that the rate of suicide with a firearm in 18 states with background checks on every gun sale is 19 in fact 49 percent lower than in states that don't 20 require it.

The overwhelming majority of the public, including poled NRA members, support background checks and closing loopholes for private sales, according to three separate polls.

In some ways, I know all of these bills

and conversations are charged, but we just have to have one public policy question of do we or don't we believe that we need some mechanism to detect, at any point of purchase or transfer, whether or not we're transferring to someone who is a dangerous or convicted criminal.

7 In the context of many of these bills, it 8 has been almost as important to talk about what the 9 bill does not do. The bill will not prohibit any 10 law-abiding citizen from buying or purchasing (sic) 11 any firearm of their choosing. And I think that bears repeating. This bill will not prohibit a 12 13 single law-abiding citizen from buying or possessing 14 a firearm of their choosing. The people it 15 prohibits are people who are criminally ineligible 16 under state or federal law now.

17 The bill does not violate the Second 18 Amendment. And, in fact, background checks were 19 explicitly upheld by the Supreme Court in the case 20 of D.C. v. Heller.

The bill does not prohibit anyone from using a firearm for self-defense. The bill does not limit family members from giving weapons to other family members, long-term, or loaning, or for the short-term. 1

2

It does not prohibit hunting, target practice, or competitive shooting.

3 And this is a significant point. This 4 bill does not create a registry. I do not support a 5 registry. There is no registry in this bill. 6 You may hear that this bill is 7 unenforceable, but it is enforceable. Within the bill, there is both a civil and criminal remedy. 8 9 And failure to comply with this measure may be 10 detected or reported by a buyer, a seller, an FFL, a 11 member of law enforcement, or a whistleblower. 12 No law any of us have ever passed in our 13 history of the General Assembly, in any of our time 14 here, has ever had 100 percent compliance. And if 15 perfect, 100 percent compliance was the standard for 16 any law, I think we could easily go home and realize 17 there was no need for a single law, ever. But we do have data that we know this 18 19 works because of what we've seen in Colorado and in other states that have chosen to close these 20 loopholes. And this important loophole being closed 21 22 actually does reduce the number of criminals, 23 substantially, who with purchase guns. 24 You may hear that running background checks on private sales somehow violates the Second 25

1 Amendment. It doesn't. And I read from the 2 decision, the Supreme Court decision, on the Second 3 Amendment, "like most rights, the right secured by the Second Amendment is not unlimited. From 4 5 Blackstone through the 19th century cases, 6 commentators and courts routinely explain that the 7 right was not a right to keep and carry any weapon, 8 whatsoever, for any purpose, whatsoever. Nothing 9 in our opinion should be taken to cast doubt on a 10 longstanding prohibitions on the profession -- on 11 the possession -- of firearms by felons and the mentally ill." 12 13 That is an explicit upholding of what we 14 are doing today in House Bill 1229, and by a 15 conservative Supreme Court justice, I might add. 16 You may hear that there are variety of ad 17 hoc situations where one would want to buy, sell, or transfer a gun without a background check. We have 18 19 amended the bill to ensure that anyone can loan a 20 weapon to anyone they want for up to 72 hours without a background check, so long as they're not 21 loaning it to a convicted felon or someone who is 22 23 otherwise criminally prohibited from owning or 24 possessing a gun. 25 What this bill does do is it ensures that

1 there will be a criminal background check on the 2 sale of all guns in Colorado to ensure that we do 3 allow law-abiding citizens to purchase guns of their choosing, yet prevent criminals and the dangerously 4 5 mentally ill, from buying and possessing firearms. 6 It's actually quite simple. 7 As a member of the Aurora community that 8 has been directly and repeatedly impacted by 9 senseless gun violence, I can tell you it is time to 10 modernize Colorado's gun laws so that no one can buy 11 a gun without a basic, common-sense requirement of a 12 background check. 13 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you. 14 Senator Balmer. 15 SENATOR BALMER: Thank you, Madame Chair. 16 Members, good afternoon. We each 17 represent 143,000 Coloradans. We each have in our 18 district babies, little tiny infants that are either 19 sleeping right now or crying to be changed or fed, 20 and they have no idea what we're discussing today, 21 but we will affect their lives today. We will affect their rights today, from sleeping babies to 22 23 the oldest citizens that we have in our districts. 24 I've received many e-mails from elderly 25 people in my district, and they are just shaking

their heads because they moved here -- they moved to a Colorado decades ago, and the Colorado that they moved to would have never considered bills like the bills that we are discussing today.

5 So we represent 143,000 Coloradans, and 6 each one of those Coloradans has the honor of also 7 being an American. So I think it's important that 8 we discuss this bill under the context of our U.S. 9 Constitution.

10 Now, foundational to any bill that we discuss is the U.S. Constitution and our State 11 Constitution. We each took an oath on our first 12 13 day. We had our families here. We took pictures. And we each took an oath to defend our Constitution. 14 15 So it's incredibly important that when we 16 discuss these bills today, and specifically this 17 bill, that we talk about the Constitution and how 18 does it affect this bill, and more importantly, how 19 does this bill infringe on our constitutional 20 rights.

21 So let's first talk about the Second 22 Amendment. Now, why do we have the Second 23 Amendment? It's very important understand that. 24 Those who don't know this are going to accidentally 25 or intentionally infringe on Second Amendment

1 rights.

2	So why do we have the Second Amendment?
3	Well, it's critical that you understand history. In
4	April, 1775, British General Thomas Gage's spies
5	alerted him that the American patriots were
6	stockpiling weapons in Concord, Massachusetts.
7	Determined to disarm the rebels, General Gage
8	ordered Major John Pitcairn, a British Major, and
9	700 British soldiers to march on Concord and seize
10	and destroy the patriot arms. That's a quote from
11	the (inaudible) order.
12	Well, a silversmith named Paul Revere rode
13	on horseback all night long to warn patriots the
14	British were coming.
15	When Major Pitcairn marched into
16	Lexington, his force came across a small and
17	determined group of militia. Pitcairn demanded that
18	the group disarm. Pitcairn said, and I quote,
19	"Disperse ye rebels, damn you. Throw down your arms
20	and disperse."
21	I apologize for swearing, I'm just quoting
22	him.
23	The militia's refusal to disarm resulted
24	in the shot heard round the world, which began the
25	Revolutionary War. In the aftermath of the battles,

General Gage would attempt to disarm the entire city
 of Boston.

3 Well, as you know, our founders fought a 4 long war, not a short war, but a long Revolutionary 5 War to gain our independence. That war was not 6 fought on a faraway land, it was fought right here, 7 in the United States of America. Their homes were 8 burned. Their families were killed. And if they 9 had not succeeded, they all would have been killed. 10 So when they won the war, our founders 11 were not casual about the rights that they wanted to 12 protect as sacred. No, the founders were determined 13 to put into their Constitution certain rights that 14 had been very much infringed by the British 15 government. They wanted to make sure that the new 16 American government would not allow the infringing 17 of these sacred rights. So they debated, and they 18 debated.

You can read the federalist papers if you wanted to read the debates. It's very interesting reading. Our founders were passing a Constitution that was not just for the 1700's. Our founders knew that they were passing a Constitution that would live many decades, many centuries into the future. They thought about the future, and they said, these

are the rights that we're going to protect in this
 Constitution.

3	As I've heard it said many times, well,
4	that was just passed because it was in the 1700's.
5	I think that we are selling our founders short with
6	that kind of thinking. Our founders knew by the
7	time that they were finished writing the
8	Constitution that they had written a truly unique
9	model for government, a model that has been copied
10	by every freedom-loving people in the world ever
11	since.
12	Indeed, we are blessed in this country to
13	have the best written Constitution in the history of
14	the world, the best form of government in the
15	history of the world.
16	So why did the founders protect certain
17	rights as sacred? There's two main reasons.
18	First, they wanted to protect the
19	individual American from an overreaching government,
20	from a tyrannical government. Our founders wanted
21	to put rights in the Constitution that would protect
22	individual Americans from their government.
23	Secondly, our founders wanted to put
24	rights in the Constitution to protect individual
25	Americans from an overreaching majority. How many

1	times have you heard it said, oh, well, majority
2	rules? Well, let me tell you something, majority
3	does not rule in America. We are unique. We
4	protect the rights of minorities in America. We do
5	not allow a steamrolling majority to just roll over
6	the rights of the minority in America. Isn't that
7	great that we have that in our Constitution?
8	I'm sure there's certain things that each
9	of you love the most about the rights that you have
10	as Americans, and isn't it great that those rights
11	are preserved and protected by your Constitution?
12	So I'll just give you one example.
13	There's many, many examples. The Japanese
14	internment, which happened in our state.
15	THE CHAIRWOMAN: Senator Balmer, could you
16	please stick to the bill?
17	REPRESENTATIVE BALMER: Thank you, Madame
18	Chair. I appreciate that.
19	When you talk about the Second Amendment,
20	when you talk about how important the Second
21	Amendment is, you have to think about can we or
22	should we allow the rights of a minority to be so
23	infringed?
24	Now, one thing that a lot of people have
25	misunderstood about the first ten amendments to our

U.S. Constitution, and we're talking about a bill in 1 2 this body, we've got to make sure -- we have to make 3 sure -- that it's constitutional. So let's talk 4 about that. 5 The first ten amendments are not in a 6 random order. The first ten amendments to the U.S. 7 Constitution were deliberately written by our 8 founders to be interconnected and to ride on top of 9 the foundation of the First Amendment and the Second 10 Amendment. 11 The First Amendment right to free speech 12 is built on top of the Second Amendment. 13 Do you think that they had free speech 14 rights in Nazi Germany? No, they didn't. It's also 15 because they didn't have any Second Amendment 16 rights. 17 Do they have free-speech rights in 18 Communist Russia? No, because they didn't have any 19 Second Amendment rights in Communist Russia. 20 Well, let's talk specifically about this bill. This bill definitely, definitely, infringes 21 22 on our Second Amendment rights. 23 Now, what if we passed a bill that --24 basically, what this bill does is, is it says, well, 25 you can have Second Amendment rights, but in certain

categories you can't have Second Amendment rights.
 Is that what the Constitution says? No. The
 Constitution says that we shall not infringe on
 Second Amendment rights, period. There's no
 footnote, one, two, three, four, except in these
 categories you can infringe. No.

7 What if we passed a bill that said that 8 you can infringe -- you cannot infringe on freedom 9 of speech except for this subject you can infringe 10 on? What if we passed a bill on freedom of 11 religion, except for this religion? That's what 12 we're doing here today. We're saying that you can 13 have the right to bear arms except that we're going 14 to take it away in certain situations.

What if we passed a bill on the Fourth Amendment that took away your right to be safe from search and seizure?

18 These rights are all sacred. We must 19 protect them all. We can't just have your favorite 20 rights in the Bill of Rights. All of us are charged 21 when we take that oath to protect all the rights, 22 not just the ones that we particularly like.

23 Why is this so important? Why should we 24 take so seriously protecting these rights? Well, 25 let me tell you why. Because there's a lot of

people over the years that have protected these 1 2 rights. They haven't just protected these rights 3 with their words, they've protected their rights -these rights -- with their lives. 4 5 There were men and women during the 6 American Revolution that fought for our country 7 because they wanted to see a Second Amendment right 8 in the U.S. Constitution. There were men and women 9 who fought during the War Between the States to 10 protect Second Amendment rights. 11 Interestingly, while we're on the war 12 between the states, it's interesting to notice that 13 General Grant allowed the -- right after the 14 surrender -- of course there's a lot of people in 15 this South that don't acknowledge they surrendered, 16 but anyway that is an aside -- General Grant allowed 17 a lot of Confederate troops to return home with their weapons. Why? Well, because it never even 18 occurred to the commanding general of the U.S. Army 19 20 that he would take away the Confederate's right to 21 bear arms. Now, he'd just been fighting them for 22 four and a half years, but he let them have their 23 weapons, because everyone in America knew that that was a sacred right. 24

Let me read you about one particular

25

1 American who sacrificed greatly to protect these 2 sacred rights. This is a letter you've probably 3 heard before, but it's -- it's just worth reading. It's -- it's poetic. This is a letter from Abraham 4 5 Lincoln. It's written on November 21, 1864 to 6 Ms. Bixby in Boston, Massachusetts. 7 It reads: "Dear Madame. I have been 8 shown in the files of the war department a 9 statement of the Adjutant General of Massachusetts 10 that you are the mother of five sons who have died 11 gloriously on the field of battle. "I feel how weak and fruitless must be 12 13 any words of mine which should attempt to beguile 14 you from the grief of a loss so overwhelming. I 15 cannot refrain from tendering you the consolation 16 that may be found in the thanks of a Republic they 17 died to save. 18 "I pray that our Heavenly Father may assuage the anguish of your bereavement and leave 19 20 only the cherished memory of the loved and lost, and the solemn pride that must be yours to have 21 22 laid so costly a sacrifice upon the alter of 23 freedom. 24 "Yours, very sincerely and respectfully.

25 Abraham Lincoln."

1	There were many Americans who fought
2	gloriously and bravely to defend these sacred rights
3	in World War I. There were many Americans who
4	fought courageously to protect these rights in World
5	War II. There are many souls at the bottom of the
6	harbor in Pearl Harbor.
7	I had last year the opportunity to go to
8	the American Sector Battlefield Cemetery in
9	Normandy, France. It's actually a part of the
10	United States. The French government actually gave
11	the land where our American cemetery is to the
12	United States of America.
13	If you walk down the rows of American
14	graves in Normandy, it will fill up your heart, and
15	you will understand, once again, how important it is
16	that we protect these precious rights that our
17	founders made.
18	There's many people that gave their life
19	in World War II, Korea, Vietnam.
20	If you ever have an opportunity to thank a
21	Vietnam veteran, I hope you'll take it, because they
22	didn't get thanked enough when they came home.
23	There's more than 3,000 people that burned
24	to death in the Twin Towers. Not their choice, but
25	that's where they were.

1	And one of my friends in Afghanistan, who
2	I was in the bible study with, died. His son has
3	his father's flag in a triangle-shaped shadow box.
4	His father believed deeply in the Second Amendment.
5	His father believed deeply in the freedoms that we
6	hold sacred in America.
7	Let me tell you how great America is.
8	This his son who was a little boy when his dad
9	was shot in Afghanistan, the son is now starting
10	college, and he's in Army R.O.T.C. And from
11	generation to generation, to generation, we have the
12	noble duty to protect these rights.
13	So I just wanted to talk about the Second
14	Amendment today because I feel like we get so much
15	into the weeds that we forget what these bills are
16	actually doing.
17	Madame Chair, I ask for a no vote. Thank
18	you.
19	THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you.
20	Senator Harvey.
21	SENATOR HARVEY: Thank you, Madame Chair.
22	And thank you, Senator Balmer, for giving
23	us a history lesson on why America is great and why
24	America is free.
25	You talked about how we have it to defend

ourselves against a tyrannical government, that
 being the Second Amendment, but we also have it to
 defend ourselves and our family from evil.

4 And no government has the authority to 5 take away somebody's inalienable right to defend 6 themselves and their family. No government has the 7 right to say we will disarm you and tell you that 8 you have to be helpless. That is what this debate 9 all day long will be about. What is the role of 10 government when it comes to the right of self-defense? 11

12 You all received an e-mail from a young 13 lady who most of us have forgotten about. Most of 14 us were kids when her story was on the front page of 15 every paper in the country. I read that e-mail, and 16 I asked her to come testify in committee on Monday 17 as one of my, quote/unquote, expert witnesses. Many of you weren't in that -- many of you weren't in 18 19 that chamber in that committee room when Krista 20 Ceresa gave her testimony. Do you know the name? Do you remember her story? 21

Let me read her testimony: "My name is Krista Ceresa. I grew up and currently reside in District 29 and am represented by Senator Morgan Carroll.

1	"I am here today on behalf of my family,
2	as well as a large number of people from my
3	community who are so familiar with the tragedy I'm
4	going to share with you today.
5	"The last man executed in the State of
6	Colorado was the man who killed my mom.
7	"July 21, 1986, Gary Davis kidnapped,
8	raped, and murdered my mom my mother, Genie May.
9	Gary Davis had a history of predatory sexual
10	behavior, raping 15 woman, and convicted and
11	convictions of grand larceny, burglary, menacing,
12	and jailed on sexual assault in Colorado.
13	"Four years prior to my mother's murder,
14	a man who should have never been released from
15	prison was released early.
16	"In 1985 my mother met Gary Davis and his
17	wife Becky at church. He stalked my mother until
18	killing her one year later. He was a criminal, and
19	it was against the law for him to have a gun. He
20	had no respect for my mother, my family, and he
21	certainly had no respect for the law.
22	"If I had to do it over again, this is
23	often a phrase we throw around when reflecting on
24	how we could have done things differently, whether
25	it relates to our careers or maybe raising our

1 children. I was speaking with my dad. He said to 2 me, 'If I had to do it over again, I would have 3 made sure your mother had a gun. If she could have had a chance to protect herself and you kids. 4 5 "In this case -- in this case we were 6 talking about saving someone's life and keeping a 7 family in tact. "I know my father struggles daily with 8 9 the fact that he was unable to protect my mother on 10 that day. The reality is these sick individuals 11 prey on those who are considered least likely to be 12 able to protect themselves, woman and children, in 13 places we falsely label as safe zones, gun-free 14 zones. 15 "If my mother had been armed with a gun, 16 my story might be much different. She was 17 approached by two assailants that day." Listen to this, members. 18 19 "She was approached by two assailants 20 that day, not just one. She was outnumbered. 21 "If any of you are parents, maybe you can 22 imagine what might have went through her mind as 23 the lives of her two young children would now be 24 forever changed because of the premeditated acts of this -- of these sick individuals. 25

1	"I am a mother now, and I think back
2	daily on that terrible moment when I saw Gary Davis
3	physically force my mom from our front yard as I
4	was held as I was held by his wife on the front
5	steps of our country home. I will never forget the
6	last time the last time I saw my mom. I was
7	four years old."
8	And she was held by this man's wife as
9	he as he threw her mother into a truck.
10	"My efforts must be focused now on my
11	children and what I can do to ensure that their
12	lives, that their last memories of their mother are
13	never the same as what I have of mine.
14	"As a concealed-carry permit holder, I
15	exercise my right to carry daily. As carrying a
16	firearm may seem unnecessary, those who know my
17	story understand the heartbreaking reality that
18	evil, evil can approach without warning. It is
19	because of the Second Amendment that I do not have
20	to worry about what others think is necessary or
21	unnecessary as it relates to the protection of my
22	family and myself.
23	"I am thankful for that right and choose
24	to exercise that right quietly and carefully,
25	whether I am at church or visiting my cousin for

lunch at the college campus at UNC, where she
 works.

3 "She too is a mother and legally exercises her right to carry. We know better than 4 5 anyone that the moment we are unprepared might be a 6 moment we live or may not live to regret. 7 "I have seen firsthand how quickly a 8 situation like this can occur, and as many of our 9 law enforcement officers are outstanding public 10 servants, there simply may not be enough time. I 11 understand more than most, my protection is 12 ultimately my responsibility. 13 "So as I ask you to consider the 14 consequences of imposing more regulations upon 15 law-abiding citizens, please remember whom these 16 laws will really restrict. How, by diluting these 17 rights, my rights, you will only make people like 18 myself, a daughter, a wife, a mother, an easy 19 target. 20 "These regulations will not affect those

21 whose intent is to ultimately break the law by 22 obtaining a gun, not getting a background check. 23 Statistics have shown that many of these people 24 committing these heinous crimes had illegally 25 obtained a gun in the first place. Stricter gun

1 control will not stop another sick-minded Gary 2 Davis from killing somebody else's mother. In 3 fact, if you pass these regulations, it is more 4 likely that you will ensure that it will happen 5 again.

"My stance, along with my entire family, 6 7 is to oppose any measures for gun control. And we 8 will oppose any lawmaker who authors or votes in 9 favor of any legislation that infringes on the 10 Second Amendment and my right to self-protection. 11 "The government was never intended to 12 regulate my needs as it relates to protecting 13 myself.

14 "We follow the law, and have every right 15 to protect our family from danger with the highest 16 measure of security we see fit.

17 "I am standing before you today having 18 just shared a story I have never spoken publicly 19 about."

20 Never, until Monday, when she came into 21 the State Affairs Committee to tell her story. She 22 has never spoken publicly since she was four years 23 old. Her dad has never spoken publicly since she 24 was four years old. Her brother, who is three years 25 older than she is, has never spoken publicly since she was four years old, until she walked through the
 doors of that committee room upstairs to tell you
 this story.

"This is because I have never felt more 4 5 passionate for a cause as I do about this and 6 understand first hand the consequences this 7 legislation presents. These restrictions will only make my world less safe, leaving law-abiding 8 9 citizens out-gunned by criminals who have no 10 respect for the law. "I'm speaking out today because you have 11 to be out of your mind to believe someone with a 12 13 plan to kill will not get their hands on a gun, 14 that any measure to put restrictions on the Second 15 Amendment will keep firearms of any capacity out of 16 the hands of criminals, nor will it influence where 17 they will choose to use them. And I certainly know 18 that these measures will not take a way the malicious intent of those with evil in their 19 20 hearts. 21 "Thank you for hearing me today. I pray that God guides you as you cast your very powerful 22 23 vote on this dangerous piece of legislation." 24 She finishes with a quote from Thomas

25

Jefferson:

1 "No free man shall ever be debarred the 2 use of arms. The strongest reason for the people 3 to retain the right to keep and bear arms is at a 4 last resort to protect themselves against tyranny 5 of government." 6 Members, thank you for listening to that. 7 I think that's what we are talking about on all of these bills that we have coming before us. How 8 9 profound is it that this family chose to go public 10 about their horrific story to tell you how important this is for them and their family? 11 I wrote them -- I wrote Krista and asked 12 13 her to be here today. 14 She said, "Senator Harvey, I would love 15 to be your guest on Friday. I have already 16 arranged for a sitter. The irony of all of this, I 17 can only think how nervous I will be walking alone from my car to the capital, knowing that I'll have 18 19 to leave my .38 in the car, or, according to your 20 Senate colleagues, my false sense of security. 21 "I am so glad you felt it was worth --22 was worth it. I just wish I could make everyone 23 who questions my position understand my reality 24 without -- without experiencing my tragedy. Impossible. So I will -- so I will continue to 25

carry around my false sense of security while 1 2 people, your colleagues, carry around their false 3 sense of reality." She took me up on my offer. She's in the 4 5 chamber today. Thank you, Krista, for being here. Vote no on this bill. 6 7 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Senator King. 8 SENATOR KING: Thank you, Madame Chair. 9 Well, the Bloomberg dysfunctional hit 10 parade just keeps coming. Let's talk about self-defense. Let's talk 11 12 about personal safety. Let's talk about one 13 exception which covers a temporary transfer to a 14 person faced with imminent death or bodily harm. Ιt has to be in the transferee's home. 15 16 So if my neighbor, whom I've known for 30 17 years, comes to my home to borrow a gun because a criminal has just broken into hers, I can't give her 18 19 that gun in my house. I have to go back to her 20 house before I can hand her the gun. 21 If someone is getting calls from a stalker 22 but the stalker's not shown up at that person's 23 home, I can't loan the targeted victim a gun. That 24 would be a crime under this bill. The reason is that that transfer to a person being threatened by 25

criminals are allowed only when the threat is so
 imminent that the victim would be justified in
 shooting the threatening person at that very
 instant.

5 Another exception is for temporary 6 transfer of possession is permitted at a shooting 7 range of specific charter: at a target, firearms 8 shooting is completed, it is under the auspices of 9 the state agency or non-profit organization while 10 hunting or fishing under those prescribed terms. 11 Another exception allows a temporary 12 transfer up to 72 hours. This is a good exception, 13 but it's flawed. The transfer -- the transfer or --14 is justly and severely liable for anyone who is 15 injured by the use of that transferred gun. 16 Notably, liability is for use. Liability 17 is for use, not unlawful use. So if I loan my gun 18 to my brother-in-law and he's going to take it out 19 hunting, and my brother-in-law is attacked by a 20 violent criminal, and my brother-in-law, in defending himself and his life, shoots the criminal 21 22 in self-defense, ladies and gentlemen, that criminal 23 can sue me. 24 This is a day of dysfunctionallism.

25 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Senator Baumgardner.

1 SENATOR BAUMGARDNER: Thank you, Madame 2 Chair.

3	Thank you, members.
4	Heard a lot of talk this morning. Let's
5	go back about an hour. Let's talk about law-abiding
6	citizens. That, in itself, is a statement,
7	law-abiding citizens, which means law-abiding
8	citizens play by the rules. And I think that every
9	law-abiding citizen that is in the State of Colorado
10	does play by the rules.
11	What makes us think that if we pass this
12	piece of legislation it's going to stop criminals
13	from getting guns? There's nothing that will ever
14	stop someone that is mean, someone that is a
15	criminal, from getting a weapon to carry out
16	whatever they want to carry out.
17	We heard about closing loopholes. I've
18	been to gun shows. I've been to gun shops. You
19	have to apply, you have to pass a background check
20	to receive a firearm from these places.
21	Does the criminal go in and say, hey, I
22	want to buy in a .357 magnum right there and, oh, by
23	the way, I want to fill out this background check?
24	Most generally, you won't find well, probably 100
25	percent of the time you won't find a criminal in a

1 gun shop or at a gun show buying a piece of -2 buying a handgun or buying a rifle or whatever,
3 because they know they have to pass a background
4 check.

5 The loophole. Again, we've heard from all of our constituents, and a lot of people seem to 6 7 think that to close this loophole, especially on 8 private sales, is to just make sure that it's known 9 who owns a gun, who's got the gun, where the gun is, 10 to where, not only in this state, but all over this 11 nation, there's a national directory on who owns a 12 gun.

13 The Constitution says we have the right to 14 keep and bear arms. Can you imagine when we went to 15 war, when these guys left their homes in defense of 16 this country, in defense of the tyranny that we had 17 when England was over us, that they'd say, you know, 18 we'd like for you to take that gun and go over there 19 and fight, but we have to check you out to make sure 20 that you didn't steal something or you didn't do something. Law-abiding citizens goes through the 21 22 process.

The Constitution gives us that right to keep and bear arms, and it also says those rights will not be infringed. This bill infringes those 1 rights.

2	When a licensed firearm dealer elects to
3	pursue the business of selling new and used
4	firearms, he or she does so under the constraints
5	already in place under the provisions of federal
6	law. He or she agrees to abide by that law, in the
7	place, at the time, and any subsequent laws that may
8	be related to the licensed business.
9	Now, private individuals, private
10	individuals own and possess firearms under the
11	provisions of the U.S. Constitution, which, to my
12	understanding, usurping federal, state, or local
13	law, because those firearms, possessed under the
14	provisions of the United States Constitution, are
15	not registered or otherwise tagged by a private or
16	governmental agency or entity, the availability to
17	enforce firearm background legislation that attempts
18	to control the transfer of ownership of private
19	sales, is impossible.

The bill defines transfers very broadly. It includes temporary changes in possession that has nothing to do with ownership. We're talking about swapping arms, giving somebody a firearm. You know, one of the problems I see, you know, we talk about 72 hours here so -- and this may have already been

spoken about, and if it is, I apologize to make you 1 2 sit through it again -- but if I leave town and I 3 give my firearms to one of my esteemed colleagues and say I'm going to be back if four days, if he 4 5 doesn't clear a background check, he's a criminal. 6 It becomes a Class 1 misdemeanor for any 7 person to accept even a temporary loan of a gun, 8 except in very limited exemptions. 9 Even if the actual sentence for this misdemeanor is 60-day probation and a \$50 fine, the 10 11 fact that the potential punishment of this crime is 12 more than a year in jail, means that violating this 13 definition of a temporary transfer, even once, will 14 lead to the loss of the ability to legally own a gun 15 under federal law. That's what this bill does. We 16 talked -- we keep talking about, we're just trying 17 to get in line with -- with the federal law. 18 This bill, as written, could lead to gun 19 confiscations in Colorado in various circumstances. 20 In Denver, in a traffic stop, the police can 21 confiscate a gun if the person possessing legal 22 ownership of the gun cannot prove to that officer 23 that the gun belongs to them. 24 How's the officer to know, unless he's got 25 a gun registration that I bought this gun, this is

when I bought it, here's the paperwork, it is my
gun.

3 When a driver's stopped by police, you 4 know, on a traffic infraction, any infraction, and 5 he can't show a vehicle registration to prove 6 ownership, that officer may decide he has probable 7 cause to impound that vehicle. Are we moving 8 towards the same thing with guns that law-abiding 9 citizens own, have the right to possess, that our 10 Constitution says you have that right? And just 11 because I can't prove it, I lose that right. 12 There's none of us in here that wants guns 13 in the hands of criminals. There's none of us in 14 here that wants hands -- or guns in the hands of 15 people that are mentally ill, but doing a national 16 background check on every citizen, law-abiding 17 citizen, on any type of firearm transfer, especially 18 on private transfers, is just unconscionable, that 19 we, as one of the senators spoke earlier, we all 20 stood down here, we raised our right hand and we swore an oath to uphold the Constitution of the 21 22 United States and the Constitution of the State of 23 Colorado. The Constitution I swore an oath to tells me that I have the right to keep and bear arms 24 without a universal background check. 25

1	THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you.
2	There is an amendment on the desk.
3	Mr. Majors, would you please read Amendment 34?
4	MR. MAJORS: Amend L.034 to House Bill
5	1229 by Senator Carroll, amend the
6	THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you.
7	Senator Carroll.
8	MAJORITY LEADER CARROLL: Thank you,
9	Madame Chair.
10	I move Amendment L.034.
11	Members, to a point raised earlier by a
12	colleague, maybe the easiest way to look at this is
13	on page 2 of the State, Veterans, and Military
14	Affairs report. Amendment 34 inserts the word
15	unlawful before the word use of firearm.
16	And to put this into context, this is one
17	of the two catch-all provisions that says you can
18	loan any firearm to anyone you want for up to 72
19	hours without a background check, as long as they're
20	not a convicted felon or prohibited.
21	But the point was also made that as far as
22	being responsible for the subsequent use, what this
23	amendment does, is it adds the word for the
24	subsequent unlawful use. One word, I think an
25	important word, raised by a colleague, which is

1 consistent -- more consistent, actually, with my 2 intent of the bill. 3 So I would just ask for an aye vote on 4 Amendment 34. 5 THE CHAIRWOMAN: A discussion on Amendment 34? 6 7 The motion is -- yes, I'm sorry, Senator 8 Brophy. 9 SENATOR BROPHY: Thank you, Madame Chair. 10 And -- and this amendment will be an 11 important improvement to the bill, but it still 12 doesn't solve all of the problems with regard to 13 assuming liability for things that happened that are 14 completely beyond your control. And we're going to 15 discuss another bill today that deals with that extensively also. But that -- that still remains in 16 17 this bill, you're -- you can be held liable for 18 things that are completely beyond your control. 19 We don't do that very often in very many 20 areas. This is -- this is -- this is a huge step to 21 place you in a position where you're responsible for 22 things that happen that are clearly, way beyond your 23 control. 24 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Senator Carroll.

MAJORITY LEADER CARROLL: Thank you,

25

1 Madame Chair.

2	And just to be clear, it's joint and
3	several liability, it's not strict liability. So if
4	anything's out of your control, it's not strict
5	liability, you wouldn't be held liable. It's the
6	ordinary negligence standard.
7	And I would just ask for an aye vote as
8	far as clarifying the use of the word unlawful.
9	THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you.
10	The motion before us is to adopt Amendment
11	34.
12	All those in favor say aye.
13	All those opposed, no.
14	And that amendment is adopted.
15	Next, we have Senator Scheffel.
16	SENATOR SCHEFFEL: Thank you, Madame
17	Chair.
18	Members, if we go back and just recall
19	ever so slightly the events of Monday, when these
20	were heard in committee. I remember being on the
21	way down here and received a phone call, a friend of
22	a gentleman that I did not know. I believe his name
23	was Rick.
24	And he indicated asked me indicated
25	he was going to come down here and testify. He was

1 concerned in particular about the package of bills,
2 but in particular this bill. And I gave him the
3 outline of the procedures and how to come down here
4 and warned him about the parking, whatnot, and then
5 I was able to bump into him when he was actually
6 down here. He left before he could testify.

7 He had tried to attempt to circumvent the 8 process that day, and suffice it to say, and we all 9 know that this place was very, very busy, very 10 crowded, and unfortunately a lot of folks could not 11 testify.

12 To this particular gentleman, I apologized 13 on behalf of the process and the fact that it had 14 turned unfortunately against his ability to speak 15 that day, and I said as best as I can do, I will 16 speak for you, given the opportunity. And so, 17 relevant to this particular bill, I'd like to -- to 18 read into the record Rick's words.

19 Concerning the requirement -- and relevant 20 to the discussion, I appreciate the sponsor's 21 amendment, and it maybe addresses some of things 22 here, and we can --23 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Senator Scheffel, could I

ask you -- I just want to confirm: This is
testimony on this particular bill that was supposed

1 to be read?

SENATOR SCHEFFEL: It is, Madame Chair. 2 3 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Okay. Thank you. SENATOR SCHEFFEL: He indicated that 4 5 concerning the requirement that loans of firearms 6 must go through a background check, the bottom line 7 is that such a requirement would be complicated, impractical, and unenforceable. My hope is that 8 9 once your colleagues understand what would be 10 involved that they will drop this idea. He writes: "I'm a certified instructor. 11 12 I mostly teach woman and young adults, especially 13 young adults belonging to a chartered national 14 organization. Except for very few certain 15 circumstances, I do all of this training at my 16 expense. 17 "When I work with women, I take them to 18 various shops to see different firearms. I do this 19 so that they might learn about them, about their 20 differences, and to find one that would suit them. 21 This process prevents people from buying a firearm 22 that they don't really need, which will end up 23 stuck in a drawer somewhere. 24 "As part of this educational process, I 25 take them to the range so they can try some

1 different types of firearms. And as I don't own 2 all the different models and types I might use for 3 this purpose, I have to borrow them. I generally 4 have to get two or three friends involved, so no 5 single one of my friends owns all the types I might 6 want to demonstrate. Right now, they loan them to 7 me, and then I go to the range with the person I'm instructing, and then I return them to the owners 8 9 afterward.

10 "If I'm teaching my youth group, I use 11 simple .22 rifles, however, I don't own enough to 12 cover my needs for even a small group, so I have to 13 involve several friends there as well.

14 "However, if this proposed requirement 15 for transfers on loans between friends and families 16 become law, the whole process would grind to a 17 halt, at least for me. I would have to have each 18 of my friends accompany me to an FFL holder. I would then fill out the federal form and transfer 19 20 the FFL, run a background check. I have to do all 21 that with every firearm from every friend. And I 22 have to pay the fee for the FFL holder charges at 23 the time. And if the state passes its own fee, I 24 would also have to pay that.

"After that, the firearms are technically

25

1 mine and not the property of the owner, as they
2 have been transferred to me. Add to that, the time
3 I it takes more me to wait for the background check
4 to clear, depending on how much the CBI is backed
5 up, and the process becomes even worse.

6 "Then I go to the training. Hopefully, 7 handling a firearm to -- handing a firearm to 8 someone in the range is not a transfer. And once 9 the training is finished, I have to drag each of my 10 friend's back, fill out the forms again and go 11 through the CBI background check again with the 12 accompanies fees and wait times. Only then, could 13 they take possession of their firearms as I return 14 them.

15 "Note that there is no such thing that 16 I'm aware of, of any sort of batch processing, if 17 that's what anyone thinks happens in this 18 circumstance. Each firearm requires its own 19 paperwork, and each firearm requires a separate 20 background check. Each check requires time in the 21 CBI system and separates the fees. 22 "All of this to serve no useful purpose.

23 It clogs the CBI system, and it's a monetary 24 hardship on people like me who teach for free. It 25 would keep my friends from participating in

1 responsible training. In fact, the whole idea is 2 ultimately counterproductive to government's goals, 3 because it hinders safe firearms education and could actually put more firearms into the hands of 4 5 the public. I would have to buy firearms I don't 6 really need in order to give this training, or some 7 people would buy firearms they don't really need or 8 that they couldn't use properly.

9 "Some of the young people I've taught over the years were headed into the military. I 10 11 taught them shooting fundamentals that helped them 12 master the necessary military skills. I know this 13 from my own experience. I learned to shoot with 14 the Boy Scouts, then shot expert for the military 15 police training during the Vietnam era, even though 16 I had no prior experience with the military 17 firearms.

18 "You say you don't want inexperienced 19 people to own firearms, but when training is made 20 this difficult, that's what you'll get.

21 "The requirement is time consuming,
22 expensive, we don't know what the state wants to
23 charge yet, and it's obnoxious. I guarantee most
24 shooters will just ignore it, as it is, frankly,
25 unenforceable. I would hope that elected officials

1 would not knowingly put an unenforceable law in the 2 books. That would be irresponsible, and 3 ultimately, such actions undermine the rule of law." 4 5 Rick, I appreciate you taking the time to get in touch with me. I enjoyed meeting you. And I 6 7 hope in some small way this makes up for your failure to be able to testify the day these were 8 9 heard. People are listening now, as I've related 10 this. Your arguments resonate with me. 11 And, for that reason, I will be a no vote on this bill. 12 13 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you. 14 Senator Brophy. 15 SENATOR BROPHY: Thank you, Madame Chair. 16 Colleagues, I'm going to ask obviously for a no vote on this bill. It is interesting in that 17 18 it will yield absurd results. It is absolutely 19 unnecessary, will not improve state of the one iota, 20 and will ultimately lead us down a dangerous path that I think we ought not start our way down. 21 22 I appreciate some of the other stories 23 that we heard about the absurdity of this, the 24 notion that you would have to go and get a background check every time you borrow a few 25

firearms from some friends to take other friends to
the shooting range so that everybody would have, you
know, an assortment of firearms to experiment with
so they could figure out what it is that they like,
what suits them, what becomes the best firearm that
they can use for their own personal safety.

And I guess these stories of absurdity
8 shouldn't shock anybody in here, when the real
9 proponents of the bill appear not to reside in the
10 State of Colorado and have Colorado values.

II If you lived here in Colorado, you might know a little about our Colorado values.

13 I -- I commend to the story that Curtis 14 Lee wrote in the Denver Post last Sunday, a fabulous 15 story talking about the rural culture, which 16 encompasses almost all of the area that most of you folks are unfamiliar with, with the population 17 18 growth here on the Front Range. Only four of us, I 19 think, represent the -- the rural edges of the State 20 of Colorado at this day.

Another story of absurdity under this bill -- and again, I wouldn't expect folks from New York to understand this, but when you go elk hunting in the state of Colorado, which is a great past time of mine, especially as a youth, and members of my

1 family and extended family, my neighbors, and 2 friends all engage in this activity. It's a --3 it's -- it truly was always the highlight of my Fall, since I wasn't big enough to play football. 4 5 You go for a week. 6 Now, a lot of our friends, distant 7 cousins, neighbors, will take their kids hunting for 8 the first time, and maybe they haven't -- haven't 9 gotten around to purchasing the first hunting rifle 10 for that -- for that new hunter, and they want to 11 come to me and -- and borrow one of mine. 12 Under this bill, we either have to make an 13 appointment with one of the two FFL dealers that I 14 know in -- in my hometown. They don't have 15 storefronts. You have to make an appointment with 16 them, go down and pay for all the background checks, 17 just to loan a hunting rifle to a person who you've 18 known maybe your whole life. You probably know he 19 has a .22 rifle because almost everybody does out 20 there. So I know he understands firearms. He can -- he can have a firearm, but I 21 22 have to get a background check on him, or both of us 23 go to jail for 18 months because it exceeds the arbitrary 72-hour period placed upon the loaning of 24 a firearm to somebody established in the Judiciary 25

1 Committee.

I think, and you may hear from everybody 2 3 on my side of the aisle, another story of absurdity. Hopefully, that one will do it, because I just think 4 5 that is absolutely ludicrous. 6 I say it's unnecessary, because if you 7 look at the existing statute, it's 18-12-111. It 8 says that it is unlawful to purchase a firearm for 9 or transfer a firearm to someone who you know or 10 reasonably should know cannot legally possess the 11 firearm. That's what it says, 18-12-111. 12 Now, what does that mean? Well, if you 13 know they can't own -- own or possess the firearm, 14 you got that. But what -- what does reasonably 15 should know? Well, the case law is fairly clear on 16 that. Florida courts, for instance, have construed 17 this standard to require proof that quote, the 18 circumstances of the transaction were sufficiently 19 suspicious to put a person of ordinary intelligence 20 and caution on inquiry. 21 So if somebody is insisting to buy a 22 firearm from you and you don't know them, it's not a 23 neighbor that you've gone forever, which, by the way 24 would -- is not allowed under this bill, so neighbors that I've known forever, I can't sell them 25

a firearm privately, it has to be a public 1 2 transaction, loss of privacy. But someone you don't 3 know, you can and you probably should, ask them to 4 do a background check. And if they say, yes, no 5 problem. If they say, no, hum? Why? Would that 6 put a reasonable person on caution? I think so. 7 And I think for that reason the bill is absolutely 8 unnecessary.

9 And as the county sheriffs tell us, it's 10 absolutely unenforceable because there's no way to 11 know if the firearm that anybody has, has ever --12 was purchased or obtained with a background check. 13 There is no way to know, and that's part of why this 14 bill is so dangerous.

I also said that the bill won't improve safety at all. And how do I know that? Well, the National Institutes of Justice tells us that. Eric Holder's Department of Justice did a study on various gun control proposals, and they studied specifically universal background checks.

And to really understand this study, you -- you probably ought to look at the history of crime associated with firearms and how those firearms were attained -- obtained, and you should note then that half of them are obtained through 1 straw purchase.

2	Now, a straw purchase is when you use a
3	friend who can legally possess and purchase a
4	firearm to go and obtain that firearm on your
5	behalf. It's illegal, but half of the firearms
6	currently used in crimes are obtained through this
7	manner, a quarter are stolen, but 20 percent truly
8	were purchased from a private seller. So this bill
9	would be dealing with that 20 percent.
10	And then you say, okay, well, what if
11	then if we stop that 20 percent, it would
12	probably be worth it, wouldn't it? And the answer
13	is, yes, if we stopped that 20 percent, but we
14	won't. We won't come anywhere near stopping that 20
15	percent, because if you read a little bit further in
16	the National Institute of Justice study, what you
17	will find is they say and this is, again, from
18	Eric Holder's Department of Justice looking at
19	this proposal, they said it will have no affect
20	because it will just force criminals to use other
21	methods to obtain their firearms.
22	So you won't really get at that 20
23	percent, you will just force those people who want
24	firearms into other avenues, more straw purchases,
25	more stolen weapons, creating more crime. All that,

all that, no improvement on safety, merely imposing 1 2 upon law-abiding citizens a pretty significant 3 inconvenience, coupled with other bills, a fairly expensive inconvenience, and taking away from the 4 5 citizens of Colorado, the law-abiding citizens of 6 Colorado, the opportunity to engage in a private 7 transaction because some of us actually believe it's smart to own a handful of firearms for which there 8 9 is no government record.

10 And I'll tell you the bill is dangerous, 11 and it's dangerous just because it is unenforceable, 12 as one of the other senators said. And I wrote this 13 in the Denver Post op-ed the other day. I mean, 14 I -- I am rural. And again, this goes back to rural 15 culture. It is highly likely that at any given time 16 when I hop in my pickup to head out to the farm from 17 my home in Wray there will be one or two firearms in 18 that vehicle.

One of them, which we'll probably be discussing later today, is -- is effectively the utility rifle of all farmers and ranchers because it's perfect for the job. And, let's just say for instance that I fail to use my turn single when I pull onto the highway to head north of town to my farm, because heck, everybody in Wray knows where

1 I'm going anyway, I really don't need a turn signal.

But let's say we have a new police officer who doesn't know where I'm headed, and he pulls me over and says, Mr. Brophy, I pulled you over because you failed to use your turn signal, and -- and, you know, while we're sitting here, I'd like to see your -- your driver's license, your registration, and your proof of insurance.

9 Happens all the time, even though I have tags on the back of the pickup that says it is registered by -- of 10 11 having the appropriate color of year, which I think is red 12 now. And then he looks in there and he notices in the gun 13 rack -- because I have a gun rack in my pick up, I am rural 14 -- and he sees the firearm and he says, Mr. Brophy, did you 15 obtain that firearm with a background check? Well, 16 dependent upon which one it is, the answer is yes, maybe, 17 no. I got my first rifle when I was nine years old, it's a 18 .22. I got my second one when I was 13. And by the time I 19 was 18, I had a few more than that. And I didn't have a 20 background check on any of them, my mother did. And the rest of the story is, is that there no longer exists any 21 22 paperwork at all on those firearms, because, and again, 23 unless you really look into this and know this, you 24 wouldn't know that the form 4473 that you fill out now to obtain a background check -- all noes and one yes, if I 25

remember right -- the FFL, the dealer, who got that firearm from a distributor and sold it to you, is required to keep that piece of paper that says you passed the background check with some identification for the particular firearm or firearms associated with that background, and sometimes it's more than one.

7 They're required to keep it in a file cabinet for 8 20 years. After 20 years, they can do one or two things --9 actually, they can do three things: they continue to keep 10 it, they can turn it over to ATF, or they can shred it, 11 which is what most of them do, God bless them.

So now a portion of the firearms that I own, there is no way an God's green earth for me to prove that I legally obtained them. Then what happens? Do I get to keep it? I can't get an affidavit from my mother. She passed away on May 19, 2003. What do we do?

18 There's only one answer. There's only one 19 answer. You have to register all of them. And 20 that's what so insidious about this bill, is that after a handful of law-abiding gun owners have their 21 22 guns held or taken away from them by the 23 authorities, the law-abiding gun owners seeking 24 relief very well may suggest that a centralized registry database would protect them from the 25

authorities, and maybe they'll be asking for it
 themselves. And that, everybody knows, is very
 dangerous.

4 Members, I'm going to urge a no vote on 5 this bill. I hope, I hope, that some of you were 6 listening and that it went to your hearts. It is 7 absurd, the outcomes of this bill. It's 8 unnecessary, doesn't improve safety, and it's 9 ultimately very, very dangerous. 10 I ask for a no vote. 11 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you. Senator Marble. 12 13 SENATOR MARBLE: Thank you, Madame Chair. 14 I rise in opposition to House Bill 1229 15 for more reasons than I can count, and for more 16 reasons than we have days to address this issue. 17 During testimony on Monday, I was 18 privileged to sit in, in the State Affairs Committee 19 and listen to some of the most incredible, marvelous 20 testimony I have ever heard. I doubt that history will ever give me that chance again. 21 We had sheriffs of Colorado come in and 22 23 testify regarding the fact that not only was this 24 bill not properly drafted, but also unenforceable, the unintended consequence of burden that we are now 25

1 forcing upon our law enforcement.

2 Besides that fact El Paso County Sheriff, 3 Terry Maketa, gave us some pretty good statistics regarding firearms, stolen, between 2005 and 2010. 4 5 It was 1.4 million firearms. That's 240,000 a year. 6 Don't you think that's where the black market really 7 begins? Do you really think that criminals are 8 going through and getting a background check when 9 there is such a black market available for them? 10 Terry Maketa also testified to the fact 11 that this is not only unenforceable, but it will create an inconvenience and more innocent criminals. 12 13 I think that we have enough laws directing 14 law enforcement to the overcriminalization of 15 America. Right now the State of Colorado has one of 16 the lowest firearm crime rates in the nation, 17 1.9 percent per 100,000. Less than half of the 18 national average. And what are we doing? We're 19 punishing them. We're punishing good citizens for 20 what? 21 I want to read you a quote, which I'm 22 sure you're all very, very aware of. And I bet you 23 will know exactly who it came from. It says, 24 "Nothing we're going to do is going to fundamentally alter or eliminate the possibility of 25

1 another mass shooting or guarantee that we will 2 bring gun deaths down do a thousand a year from 3 what it is now. Vice President Joe Biden." What's the real reason behind these gun 4 5 bills? What are we really trying to prove? Who are 6 we trying to punish? And who are we making promises 7 to? 8 I want to take a minute and address that 9 40-percent rate that has been bantered about, saying 10 that 40 percent of gun sales never go through a 11 background check. 12 I'm going to read from (sic) you, a piece 13 from the National Review that was actually written 14 by John Fund. 15 One of the reasons for the high number is 16 that 250 people back during the Clinton 17 Administration were all that were considered for the 18 study when it came to that 40-percent rate. 250 19 people. And that was before the Brady Bill was even 20 enacted. Before we even had background checks. 21 He says: "Most advocates of gun control 22 believe the loopholes in federal law are the rule 23 and not the exception when it comes to gun 24 purchases. "A 2011 study by the Office of New York 25

1 Mayor Michael Bloomberg claimed that 40 percent of 2 guns are sold through private sellers. His study 3 went on to say, these sales, which take place in 4 many venues, including gun shows and increasingly 5 on the Internet, fuel the black market for illegal 6 guns."

7 The dubious statistic of guns that avoided 8 background checks, which is actually around 9 36 percent, comes from a small 251-person survey on 10 gun sales two decades ago, very early in the Clinton 11 Administration, which I explained to you. Most of the survey covered sales before the Brady Act 12 13 instituted mandatory federal background checks in 14 early 1994.

15 If that alone didn't make the number 16 invalid, the federal survey simply asks buyers if 17 they thought they were buying from a licensed 18 firearms dealer? While all federal firearm 19 licensees do background checks, only those perceived 20 as being FFL's were counted. Yet there is much evidence that survey respondents, who went to the 21 22 smallest FFL's, especially the kitchen-table types, 23 had no idea that the dealer was actually licensed. 24 Many buyers seem to think that only the brick-and-mortal stores were license dealers, and so 25

the survey underestimating the number of sales
 covered by checks.

And another reason for the high number is that it includes gun transfers as inherited or as gifts from family members. Even President Obama's background proposal excludes almost all of those transfers.

We have another study by John Lott that 8 9 says his research suggests that expanding background 10 checks might actually contribute to a slight net 11 increase in violent crime, particularly rapes. 12 Before we expand background checks, he suggests we 13 focus on the real world statistics, not Obama's 14 magical number, and recognize that criminals are 15 seldom burdened by background checks because they 16 buy weapons on the black market.

As for gun bans, they do little to combat crime. When guns were banned in Washington, D.C., or Chicago, the rate of violent crime went up. Even in islands nations, such as Great Britain, Ireland, and Jamaica, murder rates went up after the gun bans were put in place.

23 When you look between 1965 and 2010, in 24 Colorado, there has been no change in crime with 25 background checks. None at all. So the purpose of

1 this bill is for what? To punish law-abiding 2 citizens who have carried Colorado to the lowest 3 gun-crime late in the nation? To make us an example of the overuse and abuse of power? Bad legislation? 4 5 What are we telling -- what are we telling the 6 people of the world about our Constitution? 7 This bill does not reflect the Colorado Constitution, nor the federal -- nor our federal 8 9 Constitution. It reflects New York politics, 10 Bloomberg agenda, and New Jersey's handprints are 11 all over it. 12 Before we go any further, let's take a 13 look at who we really serve. We serve the people of 14 Colorado. We are elected by the people of Colorado, 15 and we answer to the people of Colorado, not to any 16 other administration, not to any other state. 17 And I am here to speak for the people who 18 elected me. Don't punish us. 19 I will never advocate for criminals by 20 taking away the gun rights of law-abiding citizens, and I'm hoping that you will follow suit. 21 22 Thank you for your time. And I really, I 23 beg you, vote no on this bill. 24 SENATE PRESIDENT: Majority Leader 25 Carroll.

1

MAJORITY LEADER CARROLL: Thank you, 2 Mr. Chair.

3	Members, just a couple of things for the
4	record. I'm a second generation Colorado native. I
5	come from the community of Aurora in eastern
6	Arapahoe County. That is who I am representing here
7	today on this bill.
8	There have been a lot of very legitimately
9	absurd examples given of what things that other
10	folks are suggesting could happen here. And, I
11	guess I with just say that the good news is, is that
12	if you look on page 2, lines 7 through 18 on the
13	committee report of what was already amended, and
14	then look at the actual text of the bill, whether it
15	was shooting instruction classes, loaning to a
16	neighbor, or self-defense, none of those folks have
17	to go through a background check. And the only
18	prohibition is just making sure that you comply with
19	current law, as was mentioned that you are not
20	supposed to be transferring to someone who is a
21	convicted felon.
22	I do think those stories would be
23	problematic. You will look to the text to find that
24	some of them in early versions of the bill actually
25	could have come about. It's the importance of the

1 amendments in the bill taken as a whole on the 2 total. And so, hopefully, it should be the relief 3 to some that whether it's hunting or training or self-defense, you will find in this text of the 4 5 bill, as amended, that those situations are 6 exempt -- are exempted. 7 The people who are harmed by this bill 8 would be people who can't pass a background check. 9 And those are people who are already criminally 10 ineligible to own or possess a firearm. 11 SENATE PRESIDENT: Thank you, Majority 12 Leader Carroll. 13 Now that I'm back up here, I just want to 14 re-emphasize the rules of decorum and not imputing 15 the motives of others. Thank you for stating your 16 motives and intentions clearly with this bill. And, 17 again, if comments are made to the contrary, they 18 will be called out of order. 19 There is an amendment on the desk. 20 Mr. Majors, would you please read Amendment L.035? 21 THE CLERK: Amendment L.035 to House Bill 22 23 1229 by Senator Balmer, amend the State, Veterans 24 and Military Affairs Committee report dated March 4, 2013: page 2, line 9, strike "or"; page 2, line 14, 25

1 strike "firearm" and substitute "firearm or"; page 2 2, after line 14, insert Subsection I: A transfer of 3 a firearm from a person serving in the armed forces of the United States who will deployed outside of 4 5 the United States within the next 30 days to any family member or friend of the person. 6 7 SENATE PRESIDENT: Senator Balmer. 8 REPRESENTATIVE BALMER: Thank you, 9 Mr. Chairman. 10 And thank you, Reading Clerk, for reading 11 it. 12 I think that sometimes it's important that 13 we do read the amendments out loud, because, you 14 know, those who are following at home can't tell 15 what we're doing otherwise. 16 This is simply an amendment to allow for a 17 service member, military personnel, who has got a 18 deployment order getting ready to go overseas to defend our country, this allows them to transfer to 19 20 a family member or a friend. 21 Now, currently underneath the bill, if 22 they want to transfer it to their family, it's got 23 to be a permanent gift. They actually, they have to give it to their mom or dad. And as you know, 24 that -- that has a lot of -- I mean, you don't want 25

to do that. What you would want to do is just what you currently could do in Colorado, at least for today, at least right now, you can do this.

You can -- if you're getting deployed 4 5 overseas, you can leave your privately owned weapon 6 with your parents. You're probably going to leave 7 your car at your -- at your parents' house. A lot 8 of these young Americans are 18, 19, 20 years old, 9 and the way that they handle these situations is 10 they leave their car at their parents' house, and 11 they leave their weapons with their mom and dad. 12 They -- and -- and this would also allow

13 them, if they didn't have a mom and dad or if 14 they're in a situation where their mom and dad is 15 several states away, they could say well, we're 16 going to leave it with my trusted friend.

Well, that friend would be doing them a great favor to safeguard their weapon for them while they're gone, but underneath our -- the -- the way the law is going be changed with this bill, we would be penalizing that -- that American, that Coloradan, for safeguarding a weapon of a deployed soldier.

23 So I apologize, Senator Carroll, for not 24 giving you a lot of notice on this, but I just 25 thought of it. And I think it's important that we

1 safeguard our military personnel. When they're 2 getting ready to be deployed, they have a lot things 3 on their mind, and we should make it easy for them to take care of their personal things. 4 5 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 SENATE PRESIDENT: Majority Leader 7 Carroll. 8 MAJORITY LEADER CARROLL: Thank you, 9 Mr. Chair. 10 Members, I actually may be open and 11 receptive to this. My understanding of the reading 12 is that this, like any other provisions, would still 13 have kind of that generic, as long as you're not 14 transferring it to a convicted felon and someone 15 who's already criminally ineligible. And I think 16 where you've inserted it, that umbrella still 17 applies. So more time would have been good, but 18 19 I -- I believe that the circumstances approached 20 here are reasonable, where you may not want to do a permanent transfer, and 72 hours may not cover it. 21 22 So at this point, I'm not going to oppose this 23 amendment. 24 SENATE PRESIDENT: Is there any further discussion on L.035? 25

1	Seeing none, the motion before the body is
2	the adoption of Amendment L.035.
3	All those in favor say aye.
4	Those opposed, no.
5	The ayes have it.
6	And the amendment is adopted.
7	Back to the bill. I have Senator King.
8	SENATOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
9	I got I have received a very good book
10	called the Second Amendment: Preserving the
11	Inalienable Rights of Individual Self-Protection.
12	Got it from a good friend.
13	In it, "A free people ought to be armed."
14	George Washington, President of the United States,
15	signer of the Constitution.
16	How can that be prevented? How can a free
17	people be prevented from being armed? House Bill
18	1228 (sic) imposes two fees, on the applicant, a \$10
19	fee by the dealer processing the application
20	SENATE PRESIDENT: Senator King, we're
21	speaking to House Bill 1229 at this point. You
22	addressed your comments to House Bill 1228. You
23	just referenced House Bill 1228.
24	SENATOR KING: Yes, Mr. Chair, thank you.
25	SENATE PRESIDENT: Please proceed.

1	SENATOR KING: Right now, in Denver and
2	this is not a hypothetical the police department
3	has a 90-day waiting period for the mere acceptance
4	of an application for a concealed-carry permit. The
5	total waiting period in Denver is now five months.
6	The idea that I pass.
7	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
8	SENATE PRESIDENT: Next, I have Senator
9	Lundberg.
10	SENATOR LUNDBERG: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
11	Members, as I look at this bill, it it
12	troubles me. And I just had a discussion with
13	with my county sheriff, Justin Smith, Larimer
14	County, and he concurred with me that that any
15	any time we are trying to put in place a universal
16	system for background checks, it it becomes
17	practically impossible to stand on its own. It
18	it begs the policy of universal registration in
19	order to facilitate the process.
20	As a county sheriff, he he says, you
21	know, there's no way he can deal with this without
22	having that set of data. And he understands that to
23	be well, he's concerned that it's the next step,
24	and so am I.
25	You know, put aside all of the

unreasonable circumstances where it just doesn't work and it will be ignored, and we will essentially criminalize a great deal of the law-abiding citizens in the State of Colorado, whose only desire is to be able to defend themselves and their family and their property.

7 And world history is replete with the 8 examples of when you register, you eventually 9 confiscate. So whenever I see that concept of registration for firearms, I mean I am a student of 10 11 history, that's the next step. It may not be this 12 legislature, maybe ten years from now, when no one 13 sitting here today will be there. A new group may 14 look at it, and they're like, well, okay, now we 15 know where they are, let's go get them. That's the 16 concern, the major concern I have with this piece of 17 legislation.

And if I lay that up against our Colorado 18 19 Constitution's right to bear arms, it makes no sense 20 whatsoever. There's been several references to -to this section, but I'm not sure that we've 21 22 actually looked at it word for word here today. 23 You may not have been familiar with this 24 in this past, but I think you're becoming fast familiar with -- it's part of our Bill of Rights, 25

which is Article II. It's Section Number 13, Right
 to Bear Arms. And the pertinent parts of it read
 like this:

4 "The right of no person to keep and bear
5 arms in defense of his home, person, and property,
6 or in aid of the civil power when thereto legally
7 summoned, shall be called in question."

8 Now, if I were constructing something to 9 state clear defense of the citizens to defend 10 themselves, their home, their property, their 11 families, I would seek for this kind of language, 12 not something that just sort of says it or it could 13 be questioned to some degree or another. No, I'd 14 say it clearly. And that's what they've done. It 15 shall not be called in question.

16 This bill calls it into question. It sets up an unreasonable standard for the honest citizen 17 to comply with at all times. It sets up an 18 19 expectation that we don't trust the citizens of the 20 State of Colorado, and so we're going to run them through that many more hoops before they can legally 21 22 possess and bear firearms. It puts in concepts that 23 are contrary to the Constitution. How many times do 24 we have to remind ourselves of this? Shouldn't it take but one time? Remember the oath of office we 25

1 all took when we took our office?

2 Some of us who have been here for many 3 years have taken that oath again and again. It's 4 the same. I take that as job number one when I'm 5 down here, and there are some elements within the 6 Colorado constitution that I -- I have some 7 heartburn over, but I have no question as to where 8 my allegiance lies, because I took that oath of 9 office to follow that Constitution.

10 I would like the sponsor to clearly defend 11 how this comports with not only the letter but the 12 spirit of Article II, Section 13. I believe the 13 people of Colorado deserve nothing less. And I'm 14 pretty sure I'm going to be down at this mike later 15 today with other mayors that call into question the 16 citizen's right to defend themselves, to possess, to 17 control, to bear those arms.

18 Now, oftentimes, I'm chided or derided 19 because I have this notion that the Constitution is 20 where we need to start. I got that because it is 21 our job. It is our solemn oath and commitment. 22 Let me read it to you again: 23 "The right of no person to keep and bare 24 arms in defense of his home, person, and property, or in aid of the civil power when thereto legally 25

summoned, shall be called in question." 1 2 Answer that question before you vote on 3 this bill and the entire agenda before us today. 4 SENATE PRESIDENT: Is there any further 5 discussion? Senator Hill. 6 7 SENATOR HILL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 8 Mr. Chair, I too had some friends come 9 up -- constituents come up -- wanted to testify on this bill. I see some of them up in the gallery 10 11 today, and thank you all for coming. I would like to read some of their 12 13 testimony as well. They were unable to because of 14 time, but I would like to honor their desires as 15 well as represent them, as we have --Phil writes: "While I can understand the 16 17 intent of this bill, it is entirely unenforceable. 18 Unless every firearm in the state was registered 19 into a database, the transfer of ownership cannot 20 be identified unless through licensed firearm's 21 dealers or by volunteered participation or mandate. 22 "Obviously, the criminal or mentally 23 impaired have to -- have no incentive to 24 participate. The state would get better results if it could get all criminals to register with the CBI 25

2 "Again, this bill is an example of a 3 make-the-public-feel-good law that cannot be enforced and will be totally ineffective in solving 4 5 the violent crime problem. It will successfully 6 alienate the law-abiding citizens." 7 Again, that's from Phil. From Quinten (phonetic), he writes: 8 9 "Like House Bill 1224, this bill can never be enforced against the people of Colorado 10 11 unless one of two things happens: the State would either have to start an illegal gun registry 12 13 database, or they would have to violate our Fourth 14 Amendment rights and inventory every home in 15 Colorado to document what weapons are owned by each 16 person. The fact that these are only two possible 17 methods of enforcement of this law, it betrays the 18 true intentions of the author." 19 And that's from Quinton. 20 Nick is Navy retired. A good man. "More bureaucratic paperwork and tax 21 22 money from the poor people, which won't stop one 23 bad guy from doing what he or she decides he or she 24 wants to do.

and constantly monitor their whereabouts.

1

25

"As I recall, the State of Colorado

1 hasn't done a very good job on keeping drugs from 2 entering the state over the past few years, so why 3 would anyone, in their right mind, think this bill will keep the bad guys from obtaining and bringing 4 5 unregistered firearms into our state?" 6 And, finally, Matt writes: "I'm struck by 7 the attitude of many advocates of this bill who accept the notion that it's permissible to 8 9 restrict -- restrict the rights of law-abiding 10 citizens on the off chance that they may at some future date commit a crime. 11 "In legal terms, this is known as prior 12 13 restraint, which is generally impermissible. Our 14 system of governance and law, rule of law, rejects 15 this concept. 16 "In common language, this reminds us of 17 the film Minority Report. A dystopian vision of 18 the future of people arrested for pre-crime. This 19 bill will make pre-criminals of tens, hundreds of 20 thousands of Coloradans. The Colorado Constitution makes it explicit that such prior restraint on the 21 22 right to keep and bear arms is not permissible." 23 And he quotes here the Constitution. 24 "The right of no person to keep and bear arms in defense of his home, person or property, or 25

1 in aid of the civil power with thereto legally
2 summoned, shall not -- shall be called into
3 question."

What does this bill seek to do but to
call into question the right of law-abiding
citizens to exercise a fundamental constitutional
right?

"A key issue" -- and I continue to quote 8 9 this letter -- "a key issue in this bill is the 10 concept of reasonableness. The threshold should be 11 set very high to infringe or call into question a 12 fundamental constitutional right. Is it reasonable 13 to require private citizens, not dealers, to obtain 14 government permission to engage in lawful commerce 15 of legal goods?

16 "Should private citizens -- is it 17 reasonable to require private citizens to invest 18 time and money to find, locate, travel to a licensed firearm dealer? Is it reasonable to 19 20 require private citizens to self-register ownership 21 of firearms? Is it reasonable to require intrusion 22 into all aspects of owning firearms? Is it 23 reasonable to require private citizens to suggest 24 themselves to vague, undefined regulations, subject to whim of law enforcement?" 25

1 And he leaves here as a note that the 2 40-percent private sale figure is a myth and has 3 been debunked from the 1994 survey. The state came from a single survey of 251 people, two decades ago, 4 5 before the national instant check system passed. 6 And he links here to an article in the Washington 7 Post. 8 Friends, I want to say I appreciate all of 9 you who have brought in testimony and who've been a 10 part of this process. Thank you for participating, 11 and hopefully we can represent you well here. 12 But to that, I would add a few of my comments and questions as well. 13 14 I grew up in Blacksburg, Virginia, at 15 Virginia Tech, which was tragically part of the 16 shooting several years ago. My -- many dear 17 friends, actually, were personally involved in -- in that day, and then in also celebrating the lives 18 19 that were lost that day as well. 20 And -- and my question comes back to this. The testimony in support of this law -- and 21 22 Mr. Chair, I will address this question to you --23 but I would appreciate maybe understanding, would 24 this bill -- Columbine, Aurora, Newtown were given in examples of why this law is important. And my 25

1 question is -- would any one of those, Virginia 2 Tech, Columbine, Newtown, Aurora, would any of those 3 have been prevented had this law been in place? 4 SENATE PRESIDENT: Senator Grantham. 5 SENATOR GRANTHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. We did have many constituents that wanted 6 7 to be able to voice their concerns with each of 8 these bills, and for whatever reason, they were not 9 afforded that opportunity. But they had other means 10 of expressing themselves to us, and I'm sure 11 regardless of the divide in the aisle here, we all 12 received many pieces of input into this process. 13 I've just got a couple here I'd like to 14 share in regard specifically to this bill. First 15 one comes from a fellow by the name of Kevin. And, 16 no, that's not why I picked this one. 17 But, it says: "Requiring a background check for all 18 firearms transfers is an idea that's been tossed 19 20 around for some time now. However, the hastily written bill that is currently being considered was 21 22 not drafted with enough care. 23 For starters, this bill would criminalize 24 common instances in which a law-abiding friend loans another law- abiding friend a firearm for an 25

1 unspecified amount of time.

2	By way of example, you few years back, I
3	loaned one of my guns to my girlfriend for four
4	months as she had taken a Colorado POST law
5	enforcement academy. Such an act would be
6	prohibited under this proposed law.
7	If you aren't involved in shooting
8	sports, you might be surprised how often such
9	activities also occur for hunting trips, shooting
10	competitions, and other lawful activities.
11	I personally borrowed a friend's rifle
12	for a hunting trip that my friend wasn't attending.
13	And a couple of my friends have borrowed rifles
14	that I've owned for similar purposes.
15	There's no need or justifiable benefit to
16	restricting our citizens in such a manner.
17	Furthermore, the current bill will create
18	undo hardship on rural citizens, who wish to
19	actually transfer ownership of their firearms to
20	other citizens.
21	Gun shops are not very accessible in all
22	parts of our great state, and not all gun shops
23	will be willing to perform such a transfer for two
24	private parties.
25	As such, it may prove very difficult for

1 many law-abiding citizens to sell privately owned 2 firearms in private transactions.

3 I do realize that this is a contentious 4 issue, and each of you has probably been 5 overwhelmed with letters both for and against these 6 measures. If you've made it this far," in the 7 letter he says, "I thank you for taking the time to 8 read my letter. I do hope that I can count on your 9 support in this matter." 10 And the second one, colleagues, is really 11 kind of to the point that the previous letter was about and the transference and the -- the creation 12 13 of illegal transfers through this bill, and what 14 would normally be legal activity. 15 "Like all Americans, Colorado's federally licensed firearms retailers are deeply saddened by 16 17 tragic events that took place over the last few 18 months in Aurora, Colorado, and Newtown, 19 Connecticut. 20 "Our thoughts and prayers go out to all the victims and families of these horrible 21 22 tragedies. 23 "We share the goal of all Coloradans in 24 wanting to find real and effective solutions that will make our children and communities safer, while 25

at the same time respecting and safeguarding our
 constitutional rights.

3 "We believe the gun control measures currently proposed by the Colorado legislature, 4 5 while well-intentioned, will not achieve our shared 6 goal, and instead will burden law-abiding citizens. 7 The unfortunate reality is that none of the 8 proposed laws will stop a madman determined to 9 pursue evil. Law enforcement agencies agree, 10 notably including Colorado County Sheriffs. "The so-called universal background 11 12 check, 1229, is one of the bills of particular 13 concern to Colorado's firearms retailers, most of 14 whom are hard-working, tax-paying, jobs-creating 15 small businesses. 1229 requires federally licensed 16 firearms retailers to perform background checks for 17 the private transfers of firearms unrelated to our 18 businesses. 19 "This legislation will not deter 20 criminals who are determined to obtain firearms. 21 For example, most guns used in crime are stolen. 22 "We are deeply concerned, however, about

23 the significant financial and regulatory burdens 24 and legal consequences this bill will have on our 25 businesses. It is clear the legislature never

bothered to ask us retailers how this proposal
 would impact our businesses or they were wholly
 indifferent to our concerns.

4 "Requiring retailers to conduct 5 background checks will have a significant impact on 6 our ability to service our actual customers. I can 7 think of no other government mandate which requires a business to provide a service on behalf of a 8 9 non-paying individual. By definition, these 10 private transferors are not entering our stores 11 interested in buying our products. They will only 12 be in our stores to take advantage of our designation as a federally licensed dealer. 13

14 "While the bill allows for a \$10 fee to 15 be charged for the background check, this hardly 16 equates to the value of the lost time with actual 17 customers that will taken to conduct private sale 18 background checks.

19 "Based on the experience of licensed 20 firearms retailers in the few states that require 21 retailers to conduct background checks on the 22 private transfer of firearms between individuals, 23 we know requiring universal background checks will 24 impose on federally licensed retailers significant 25 increased regulatory burdens, tremendous additional

1	cost, logistical nightmares, unacceptably lengthy
2	delays and processing (inaudible) checks,
3	unprecedented liability exposure, and other
4	additional unintended consequences.
5	"Further, if universal background checks
6	become law, it would be difficult to measure
7	compliance without mandatory national firearm
8	registration, a policy broadly opposed by
9	law-abiding citizens and retailers.
10	"Increased cost to businesses. Before
11	any retailer mandate is enacted, please consider
12	the following: The universal background check is a
13	pure cost to the retailer, and most of us are small
14	mom-and-pop businesses.
15	"As retailers, we would lose a
16	significant amount of money generating the legally
17	required recordkeeping entries, maintaining those
18	records for decades for law enforcement, and
19	performing the background check on a firearm we are
20	not selling, and for which we realize no profit.
21	"Licensed retailers would be forced to
22	use paid staff hours or to hire additional staff
23	and pay for additional infrastructure to
24	accommodate such transactions, including, but not
25	limited to, additional surveillance equipment to

1 secure firearm storage, parking, I.T.

2 infrastructure, and acquisition and distribution of 3 records.

4 "Staff conducting background checks on
5 private party transfers will not be able to serve
6 paying customers, many of whom will leave our
7 stores rather than wait, resulting in lost sales.

"The liability risk. In addition to the 8 9 cost of providing this government function, the 10 liability a retailer has in such transactions, for 11 example, retaining additional ATF form 4473, 12 subject to inspections and litigation for 20 years. 13 Maintaining the (inaudible) records for life of the 14 business, would require a significant increase in 15 compliance efforts. Any errors would be cited as 16 violations by ATF against the retailers. A single 17 violation of the Gun Control Act or the ATF regulations is sufficient to revoke our license. 18 19 Imagine losing your livelihood for a recordkeeping 20 error for a product you didn't even sell? 21 "We would be forced to handle firearms

that we are not familiar with because we do not stock them. It would no longer be the case that every firearm we now acquire, whether new or used, is a firearm that we want to acquire. Some used 1 firearms in commerce may have been modified by 2 their owners, may have been missing markings, 3 making proper firearm acquisition and disposition records difficult for retailers to achieve a 4 5 compliant transfer. The licensed retailer would 6 also be subject to product liability and other 7 lawsuits if the transferred firearm is alleged to 8 be defective.

9 "Insurance coverage in those cases will 10 likely be unavailable to us, since we did not sell 11 the firearm. Imagine being sued over an accident 12 involving a firearm you didn't sell, and having no 13 insurance coverage.

14 "Federal law requires us as licensed 15 retailers to provide a secure gun storage or safety 16 device, typically a gun lock, when we transfer any 17 handgun. Who will pay for the cost of that gun 18 lock in these private transfers?

19 "If the firearm being transferred is in a 20 retailer's possession, custody and control while a 21 delayed NIX response is being resolved, up to three 22 business days, the retailer would face additional 23 liability over claims that the firearm was altered 24 or damaged while in the retailer's possession. 25 "There are significant safety concerns

presented by a massive influx of private-party firearms entering a carefully controlled retail establishment. For example, in California, persons have entered large retailers with a firearm, walked through the store, for example, passed the shoe department to get to the sporting goods in order to conduct a private-party background check.

8 "About what the logistical nightmare of 9 In the event a buyer is denied based on the this? background check results, it is now unclear how the 10 11 transaction should be handled. Would we then be 12 required to run a background check on the seller 13 before returning the firearm back to the seller? 14 If the firearm is maintained overnight by the 15 licensed retailer, federal law would require for 16 retailer to conduct a background check and have them complete a form 4473 in order to return the 17 18 firearm to the private-party seller.

19 "What is a licensed retailer to do in the 20 event of a double denial? Both the private party 21 is denied and the potential seller is denied. This 22 has happened. It's happened in California. How 23 would such a transaction be noted on the ATF 4473? 24 "As a point of contact state, Colorado is 25 already overwhelmed with retail transactions.

1 Colorado CBI is already overwhelmed, and at one 2 point was eight days behind in processing these 3 background checks. Adding a massive influx in 4 transactions would further tax the system to the 5 point of potential collapse. 6 "Additionally, there remains uncertainty 7 about how to best handle temporary transactions, such as the loan of a firearm for a hunting trip or 8 9 at the shooting range. 10 "All of these concerns aside, the 11 firearms industry contributes over \$590 million in 12 economic activity to Colorado, creating 4,800 jobs, 13 paying \$43 million in state taxes in these 14 difficult economic times. This industry is one of 15 the few industries that continues to create jobs. 16 "We ask you to oppose this so-called 17 universal background check, 1229, because it places costly and unreasonable burdens on Colorado's 18 19 retailers, infringes upon our Second Amendment 20 rights, while failing to make Colorado safer. It 21 cannot be effective without mandatory universal 22 firearms registration, a policy universally opposed 23 by firearms owners." 24 Sincerely, Lucas Galeb, Michael Shane, 25 Matt Solomon, John Devoe, Jeffry Ray, John Burred,

1	Patrick Blake, Douglas Craft, Brent Hoffbauer, Lisa
2	Cohen, Randy Terbush, Chris Camp, William Comegys,
3	Keith Martin, Glenn Rocovich, Tyler and Tracy Hoff,
4	Bryce Meretti, Robert Parker, Phillip Collins, Roy
5	Rutz, Brue Baredicas, Jr., Perry McDonald, Matthew
6	Paskowitz, Derrick Dercoli, Steve Gettle, Celvin
7	Boyer, Diane Mestis, Victor Gabriela, and Kitt
8	Robertson.
9	Colleagues, please vote no.
10	SENATE PRESIDENT: Senator Scheffel.
11	SENATOR SCHEFFEL: Thank you,
12	Mr. Chairman.
13	Colleagues, I wanted to take a minute to
14	thank Angel, who contacted me and said: "Yes, I
15	woke up last Monday at 6:00 a.m. to arrive at the
16	capital early and sign up to testify, and after nine
17	hours seated in a closed room listening to the
18	process for two bills, not eat anything all day, I
19	can't testify, of course so angry and frustrated, I
20	drive, come back home for two hours."
21	Unfortunately, his conclusion was that
22	after watching the process, "Honestly, lost my faith
23	in the process."
24	If he had been given the opportunity to
25	testify and I won't read all of it, I'll just

read the relevant portions as applied to 1229 here. 1 "My name is Angel. I'm a resident of 2 3 Colorado Springs. I'm from Puerto Rico. Last year I decided to move to a nice, safe, and free state, 4 5 and after a lot of research and friend's 6 recommendations, I choose Colorado and moved here. 7 "My wife and me are in love with this state, but now I find that Colorado will no longer 8 9 free and safe in the future and with this 10 anti-constitutionals bands. 11 "Why I said this? Because my experience living in Puerto Rico has taught me this." 12 13 I'll just highlight, but he then relates: 14 "While I was in Puerto Rico, which seemed to be 15 fairly onerous and describes a litany of costs and 16 fees and registrations and background checks. He 17 concludes, that anyone can see that more gun 18 control in a state equals higher crime, and on the 19 other hand, less gun control, like Colorado, until 20 now, equals less crime. I moved to this beautiful state looking for a better place to live for me and 21 22 my family, and it will make me really sad that you 23 are choosing the path that Puerto Rico choose and 24 that it has been proven to be a failure." Angel. Steven writes: "I write to you today to 25

1 express my deep concern about the extreme proposals 2 being brought forward in the state legislature 3 regarding gun control. To me, this is a knee-jerk 4 reaction to the actions of a few mentally unstable 5 persons.

6 "These legislative proposals are absurd. 7 We all know that gun control laws are obeyed only 8 by law-abiding citizens. Criminals, will, by 9 definition, ignore any new and current gun control 10 laws. Taking lawful guns off the streets won't 11 make the problem better, in fact, they will make it 12 worse.

13 "I strongly oppose limitations and urge 14 rejection of redundant background checks that are 15 nothing more than a new tax and burden. Enforce 16 the current laws and rescind redundant burdens on 17 the lawful. Sincerely, Steven."

18 To Steven and Angel, I want to thank you 19 for not giving up on our process. I'm sorry that 20 you were not able to come here and testify. But it 21 has been the theme here, your arguments resonate 22 with me. They resonate in this building.

23 We know that this particular bill is an 24 overreach. It cannot successfully accomplished and 25 will without what is tantamount to registration. I

1 cannot support that. Your words resonate with me. 2 I thank you for taking the time to contact us here, 3 and I will be a no vote on this bill. 4 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Senator Crowder. 5 SENATOR CROWDER: Thank you, Madame 6 Chair. 7 I'd just like to talk a little bit about 8 the things I've been hearing in here. I'd like to 9 start out by kind of reading a short blurb of a 10 letter I got from the American Legion. "From the 35,000 members of the American 11 12 Legion family in Colorado, I'm asking you to vote 13 no on the subject bills of Friday, March 8, 2013. 14 By doing so, you'll be sending the message to all 15 citizens of our great state that you believe in the 16 Second Amendment and the right of your constituents 17 to bear arms freely and without prejudice." And that's all I'll read of that. 18 19 The slippery slope in which we talk 20 about, we -- if we could just go back a little bit 21 and look at it, I come from an era where, if you 22 wanted a weapon, you get a catalog or however you 23 want to do it, and you order that weapon, and it 24 comes in the mail. The slippery slope in which we've already 25

come down to this point, we have gun laws in place,
 but now we see the mass murders going on. The laws
 in which we have placed is part of that slippery
 slope to registration.

5 I'm not sure that I would agree with any 6 additional laws would -- would refrain that from 7 happening again. I'm of the opinion that -- I'm basically of the opinion that if -- the one thing 8 9 that's not been talked about today is our freedom. 10 If indeed we are a free nation, we should be 11 looking in the opposite direction. Instead of 12 restricting our rights, we should be expanding our 13 rights.

There was a enormous amount of -- of gun sales in this country, but I think the -- the laws in which we have placed today is not necessarily what has kept the -- the homicide rates down, I think it's the gun ownership rights that have kept that down.

20 So I -- again, if we're -- if we're going 21 to talk about being a free nation without 22 additional regulation, that's almost a -- an issue 23 that cannot be compromised. So I'm opposed to this 24 bill. You know, I -- I do not believe additional 25 regulation for the best of intent is -- in the 1 direction to go.

2	I until this until today, I had an
3	argument with veterans over the last 40 years that
4	I was adamant that I was right. And that argument
5	has been I'm a Vietnam veteran that argument
6	has been in the past I do not believe there's ever
7	been an American veteran who has died in vain for
8	this country.
9	I'm a very, very firm believer that every
10	American death in service had a purpose, and that
11	purpose was to promote the freedom of this nation,
12	not restrict it. I do believe adamantly that
13	freedoms can be attained, but it has to be worked
14	for and fought for. That's what, you know, the
15	letter I just read was from 35,000 American
16	Legions.
17	We have roughly two million veterans in
18	this state, and I think that, that in itself the
19	veterans understand exactly what it means to the
20	the Second Amendment and the right to bear arms,
21	and those rights shall not be infringed upon.
22	So I and I normally what I normally
23	do is just represent my district.
24	And in my district right now, the Pueblo
25	Chieftain had an article the other day, their poll,

1	and it wasn't scientific by no means, but they
2	they indicated 60 percent of the people in Pueblo,
3	which is out of my district, but it's within my
4	boundaries, but 60 percent of the people were
5	opposed to this gun bill.
6	I do believe in my district, which
7	surrounds Pueblo, which is predominantly rural,
8	St. Luis Valley and ten counties east, would be
9	considerably higher than 60 percent. I would not
10	make a judgment, but I do believe it would be close
11	to 80 percent. Of the 5,000 e-mails I've I've
12	received, it's well over 80 percent.
13	So I would you know, I would really
14	look at the slippery slope that we're going down as
15	one to registration.
16	There is there is one thing that I
17	would never do. I'm a fifth-generation Coloradan,
18	and I will never leave this state. I will continue
19	to stay here and fight for what I believe is right
20	for the State of Colorado and its people.
21	But I would really urge a no vote on
22	on, you know, these existing gun bills. And I would
23	ask for your support on that.
24	And thank you very much.
25	THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you.

1

Senator Cadman.

2 SENATOR CADMAN: Thank you, Madame 3 Chairman. I want to share a letter that was sent to 4 5 one of my colleagues. Trying to maintain decorum, I won't mention his name, but he is from Grand 6 7 Junction, and his hair is perfect. I'm 0-2 there. 8 "Dear Senator. I met you yesterday after 9 the so-called public hearings on the anti-gun 10 bills. "As I mentioned, I am an executive 11 12 producer for Outdoor Channel. I currently have 13 four series in production, including Gun Stories, 14 the top show on the Outdoor Channel, with several 15 additional series in development. My series focus 16 on guns, hunting, shooting, and the outdoors." 17 It sounds like a pretty good fit for 18 Colorado, doesn't it? "This morning, I met with my three 19 20 producers, and we made the decision that if these anti-qun bills become law, we will be moving all of 21 our production out of Colorado. We've already 22 23 canceled a scheduled filming session for late this 24 month. 25 "Obviously, part of this is due to our

1	own commitment to the right to keep and bear arms
2	it also reflects" listen to this "this also
3	reflects three lawyers' opinions that these laws
4	are so poorly drafted and so designed to trap
5	otherwise legal citizens into a crime, one of our
6	attorneys referred to them as flypaper laws, that
7	it is simply too dangerous for us to film here."
8	That's a pretty strong message. Three
9	lawyers' opinions.
10	"I can give you chapter and verse on the
11	legal implications if you need, but suffice it to
12	say that the first legal opinion was so scary we
13	went out and got two others, all three attorneys
14	agreed."
15	The first legal opinion was so scary that
16	we went out and got two others.
17	I think this really confirms the
18	assertion that I have made in this building, and
19	probably at this microphone. Have you ever been in
20	a situation where you had one attorney and two more
21	attorneys made it better? No.
22	I'd say forgive me to the all the
23	attorneys here, but you know it's true.
24	"We are relatively small potatoes in
25	television, but our relocation of production will

cost Colorado just under one million dollars in
 2013.

3 "Secondly, we have proudly promoted Colorado in our productions, and have been moving 4 5 more and more production into the State. Now we 6 will do exactly the opposite. 7 "What does this mean for Colorado? The 8 community of television producers is a small one. 9 Last week, I had lunch with a major network producer who is looking to locate his new reality 10 11 series here in Colorado. That producer is also a 12 shooter, and the new reality series will now be 13 based out of Phoenix. That lunch cost Colorado 14 over a million dollars in economic impact." 15 That's an expensive lunch. That's an 16 expensive lunch even before Amendment 41 kicked in. 17 A million dollars, another production company gone. 18 "Thirdly, according to numbers I received 19 from the National Shooting Sports Foundation" --20 for whom he used to work -- "hunting had an almost \$800 million impact on Colorado in 2012." 21 22 You heard mentioned up here earlier that 23 firearms specifically were 400 million, so if you 24 add to that all of the other peripheral components into hunting, it doubles that. Citing nearly 8,330 25

1 jobs.

2	"Next month, I will be in Texas meeting
3	with most of the top outdoor hunting producers.
4	And the number one agenda item will be Colorado.
5	"Already hunting organizations and
6	statewide hunting clubs around the country are
7	pulling out of Colorado, and we expect this trend
8	to accelerate rapidly.
9	"The message we will take to our viewers
10	and listeners is that these proposed laws are so
11	dangerous these laws are dangerous to hunters
12	and any other person, be she a fisherman or a skier
13	who brings a handgun into the state for
14	self-defense, that we cannot recommend hunting,
15	fishing, or visiting Colorado.
16	"We reach millions of people, and quite
17	frankly, we have a credibility that the Colorado
18	government officials can no longer match.
19	"Colorado Division of Wildlife is already
20	running ads trying to bring more out-of-state
21	hunters to Colorado in light of the flood of
22	negative publicity about these proposed laws. I
23	can assure you, those ads will fail."
24	Sounds like we have two situations
25	coming: One, we can probably expect to see our

1 former Senator -- former colleague Senator White 2 over here asking for more money to promote Colorado 3 to counter these; or frankly, since we probably can't, once this tidal wave gets rolling, we ought 4 5 to ask them for the \$15 to \$20 million back. How 6 do you think that will go over?

7 He goes on. "We estimate that as many as one-quarter to one-third of out-of-state hunters 8 9 will desert Colorado in the next 18 to 24 months, 10 which will, quite frankly, be a disaster for the 11 hunting industry here and have a devastating affect 12 on our western and northern communities, certainly 13 like Grand Junction.

14 "This is not a boycott in the traditional 15 sense of a centralized, organized operation, 16 rather, it is a more grassroots decision on where shooters, hunters, and other sportsmen are willing 17 18 to spend their money.

19 Look at the collapse of the Eastern 20 Sports and Outdoor Show in February. That venerable, multimillion dollar trade show chose to 21 22 ban modern sporting rifles and standard capacity 23 magazines. And within three weeks, it collapsed, 24 as all vendors and sponsors pulled out. 25

"Colorado is going to pay a huge price

for laws that will do nothing to increase public
 safety.

3 Thank you for your support. As one of the top gun guys in the America, I personally reach 4 5 more than a million people a week. If there's 6 anything I can do to help in this fight, I would be 7 glad to help you in any way." 8 You know, a few years ago, we had an 9 opportunity at the end of the session each year to 10 go to a conference up in Craig, and I know my 11 colleagues, I think some of them may have stepped out of the room, but one of my colleagues I served 12 13 in the House with Adams County would attend, my 14 other colleague from Jefferson County would attend. 15 And what really struck me about my time 16 up there was all these big beautiful hotels in 17 Craig. Anybody been to Craig? It's a ways from 18 everything. And what I found out sustained these 19 hotels and why they were continuing to add to them 20 and build more, was mostly for the hunting 21 industry. 22 And I found out that major celebrities 23 buy up entire wings of some of these -- or rent up 24 the entire wings of some of these hotels during hunting season for two, three, four, six, eight 25

weeks at a time. It is a -- it is the lifeblood, 1 2 the lifeblood of these communities, hunting season. 3 Obviously, this will have a significant impact -- affect on a whole lot of rural communities 4 5 in Colorado. 6 I'm sure Senator White is listening right 7 now wondering what our next move will be. I don't 8 see myself supporting more money for him to try to 9 make up for this loss. Frankly, how could we 10 even -- how could we even put a figure to this? 11 Somebody has a million -- a reach of a million 12 people a week and he says don't come here. 13 Remember the old adage, good news stays 14 quiet, bad news travels fast? This bad news is 15 traveling very fast. 16 I would ask for a no vote. 17 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you. 18 And now the Senator from Grand Junction 19 with the perfect hair, Senator King. Your minority 20 leader said it, I didn't. Then Senator Renfroe. 21 Oh, Senator Harvey. Okay. 22 SENATOR HARVEY: The Senator from Douglas 23 County with no hair. 24 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Yes. 25 SENATOR HARVEY: Thank you, Madame Chair.

1	I'd like to take a minute to talk about
2	another business here in Colorado, who is concerned
3	about the impacts of this legislation, not on them,
4	but on the freedoms of all Coloradoans. This is a
5	company that is a significant employer here in
6	Colorado that is going to be expanding was
7	planning on expanding substantially here in 2013.
8	I'm I assume that you all got this
9	letter, and I wanted to read it to you as well.
10	It's it says: "Dear Fellow Coloradan"
11	THE CHAIRWOMAN: And and Senator
12	Harvey, this is pertaining to 1229?
13	SENATOR HARVEY: Yes.
14	THE CHAIRWOMAN: Okay. Thank you.
15	SENATOR HARVEY: That's why I'm up here.
16	And all other bills, as well. I might come up for
17	every bill and read this.
18	"Our state legislature is embroiled in a
19	gun control debate that is precipitating a malaise
20	in our citizens and tolls ominous consequences for
21	local businesses like ours.
22	"My name is Mark Butler, and I'm the CEO
23	and co-founder of J.R. Butler, Inc. We are an
24	engineering and manufacturing firm, specializing in
25	commercial glass industry. We are located in the

1 heart of Denver, at 6th and I-25, and employing 104 2 local men and women. We serve on numerous local 3 boards of directors. Our employees donate hundreds of thousands of dollars to charities -- I'm 4 5 sorry -- our employees donate hundreds of 6 charitable hours annually and donate hundreds of 7 thousands of dollars. We are the City and County of Denver. We are Colorado. 8

9 "Our company is considering a two-fold expansion in our operations, which demands a 10 11 facility and a number of employees twice the size 12 of our current one. Naturally, our original plans 13 included building locally and deepening our 14 Colorado roots. Due to the recent action in our 15 state, however, we are looking instead to move our 16 operations out of Colorado, potentially to Texas. 17 We are currently in the process of reaching out to their EDC's, while you're in the process of 18 considering these egregious gun bills. 19

"J.R. Butler is a vehement defender of the U.S. Constitution and the Second Amendment. We will not abide the loss of our liberties. We will not allow our rights to be tread upon. If these egregious bills are signed into law, we will move all of our jobs, charitable activities, and tax

1 base away from the State of Colorado.

2	"We know that you will directly consider
3	these statements, as we have. I believe I am one
4	of the many CEO's that will see the legislative
5	actions that you are taking in the same light.
6	Colorado cannot endure the loss of so many leaders.
7	God's speed, Mark Butler, CEO."
8	Just one more example of how these bills
9	are going to have a detrimental impact, not only on
10	our economy, but also on the perception of who we
11	are as Coloradoans, and what our state has become.
12	I remember a couple of decades ago when
13	Amendment 2 was passed on the ballot, and we had
14	film industry experts leaving the state; we had
15	companies leaving the state; we have people saying
16	we weren't going to come back to Colorado because
17	Colorado was quote, a hate state.
18	What do we hate today? Freedom, liberty,
19	the right of self-protection?
20	I ask for a no vote.
21	THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you. Senator
22	Renfroe.
23	SENATOR RENFROE: Thank you, Madame Chair.
24	Members, you've heard some amazing letters
25	from companies that call Colorado home. Now, you

might think that, well, this is a gun bill, what are we doing about -- talking about companies? Well, every bill that we face down here has a lot of sides to it, obviously. One of them is a economic impact; one of them is a safety impact; hopefully, one of them is a constitutional impact.

7 I think this bill is one of those that we
8 could look at all three levels as reasons why to
9 vote no on this bill.

10 You heard stares just now. List to that. 11 The Outdoor Channel. You don't think they don't 12 reach every hunter and fisherman in the country, in 13 the world?

14 In fact, I did get an e-mail from a man 15 that lives in Switzerland, that calls Colorado his 16 summer home. And I think he sent that to guite a few of you, too. And within that, I think he said 17 18 that he was almost ashamed of us and was probably 19 looking for a different place to call his 20 Colorado -- his summer home rather than Colorado, because of the freedoms that we were taking away 21 22 with these bills, and this bill in particular. 23 That is amazing with the Outdoor Channel.

What Senator Cadman read, I don't think -- I think is -- deserves to be repeated in a few highlights.

1 Number one, the executive producer met 2 with his other three producers, and they have a 3 decision -- made the decision to move out of state if these anti-gun bills become law, moving all of 4 5 their production out of Colorado. What do you think 6 that will do to hunting and fishing? 7 But they just didn't say that because 8 they're pro-Second Amendment. They actually hired 9 some attorneys to look at the legislation, to see if 10 it stands up to one of the other pillars, our 11 Constitution, see how it stands there. And their 12 lawyers' opinions said they are so poorly drafted 13 and designed to trap otherwise legal citizens into a 14 crime. 15 I don't think anybody could have said anything better about this bill. That's truly what 16

I believe this bill does. There are so many examples, many that have been shared, many that unfortunately we're going to find out through the newspaper of stories of people being trapped by them in the future, if this passes.

It is too -- simply too dangerous for us to film here. Wow. Wow. Too dangerous to film here. They have proudly promoted Colorado and have been moving more and more production into our state.

1 Now we will do exactly the opposite. The message we 2 will take to your viewers and listeners is that 3 these proposed laws are so dangerous to hunters and 4 any other person, be she a fisherman or a skier who 5 brings a handgun into the state for self-defense, 6 that we cannot recommend hunting, fishing, or 7 visiting Colorado. 8 When you look at the Governor's office and 9 his economic development department, they've given 10 documents to our JBC staff. And here's a little 11 backgrounder of -- of their goals and what they 12 stand for. "The Colorado Office of Economic 13 14 Development" --15 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Senator Renfroe --16 SENATOR RENFROE: -- "and International 17 Trade" --18 THE CHAIRWOMAN: -- would be willing to stick to the bill, please? 19 20 SENATOR RENFROE: This is exactly to the 21 bill, Madame Chairman, because this is talking about 22 the economics of what this policy -- this bill -- is 23 going to do to the State of Colorado. 24 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you. Let's stick 25 to that.

1 SENATOR RENFROE: And so I'm talking about 2 one of the other branches of government, the 3 Governor's Office of Economic Development and 4 International Trade, and what they have said about 5 what their goals are, are for our state, when it 6 comes to economic development. 7 I think the last letter from the outdoor 8 channel shows what the problems will be, that there 9 will be great economic impact to our state. And so I just want to share with the -- with the body and 10 11 with you what their goals actually are and what 12 they're tasked with, if I may. 13 May I do that, Madame Chair? 14 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Yes. 15 SENATOR RENFROE: Thank you, Madame Chair. 16 The governor's office -- The Colorado 17 Office of Economic Development and International 18 Trade is tasked with assisting and creating a 19 positive business climate, encouraging economic 20 development, and building sustainable job growth across the state. They're focused on retention and 21 22 growth in 14 key industries. They will not meet 23 their goals. They cannot with this bill. This bill 24 is absolutely against what we're funding another 25 part of government to promote.

The third part I talked about within the
 bill that we need to look at is -- is the safety
 aspect.

The Senator from Fort Collins talked about some data early on that the -- the sponsor of the bill referenced, and frankly that the President of the United States has referenced in regards to this matter. And I would have questions for the sponsor and for the Chair, Madame Chairman.

10 Where did the 40 percent number come from, 11 when we're talking about the so-called loophole? 12 Could you please tell me where that number came 13 from? I think I know where it came from. So if I'm 14 wrong, I would love to be corrected with the -- the 15 proper data on this and the proper facts. Because 16 I'd hate for us to mess up the statistics and the facts on a bill that we're hearing on guns. 17

18 That 40 percent number, which actually I 19 think in the study that it was supposedly using out 20 of is actually 36 percent, but we can round up and 21 say that. But, it's a study that was conducted with 22 only 251 people during the Clinton Administration, 23 which was from, I think, a '91 to '96 period of 24 time.

But the thing you have to remember,

25

1 colleagues, is that we did not require background 2 checks until I think it was '94. So the question 3 that was put during this so-called loophole study was before we even required a background check at 4 5 all. So how can we say 40 percent of people are in 6 this loophole that we're trying to fix with this 7 bill? So I would love, Madame Chair, for an 8 9 answer to these questions and some -- some 10 statistics to show the need and where that 11 40 percent comes from. 12 And you could even go a little further 13 into digging into what the questions of that 1994 14 survey was, and actually what the survey simply 15 asked was if they thought they were buying from a 16 licensed dealer, so it didn't even actually ask the 17 right questions within that. 18 So is there really proper data that we've been told about on this bill? I would love that 19 20 answer, Madame Chair. 21 Another question I would have, when you 22 look at background checks and you question 23 40 percent out there that we've allegedly been told 24 is what the loophole is we're trying to protect, okay, well then, the other side of that is, how 25

1 about the people that we've been told failed a 2 background check and the number that they are, what 3 percentage of those really actually failed the test? 8 percent of background checks are 4 5 initially denied, but actually 94 percent of that 6 eight percent is found to be a false positive, in 7 that they just had a name was similar or the same as somebody else that was on the list, and they had to 8 9 go through a few steps to get there. 10 So in reality, what this bill, in my 11 opinion, really does from a safety issue, and there 12 are researchers that actually have came to this same conclusion, is that this bill, by expanding 13 14 background checks, might actually contribute to an 15 increase in violent crime because of the time it 16 would take people to wait for this to happen, the 17 people that actually do need the right to protect 18 themselves, and they will be waiting for that right. This is a very, very bad bill, a bad 19 20 package of bills. And I would ask for a no vote. 21 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Members, we have been 22 joined by Secretary of State Gessler, and we should 23 acknowledge his presence in the room. 24 Senator Baumgardner. 25 SENATOR BAUMGARDENER: Thank you, Madame

1 Chair.

2	We just heard from one of our colleagues
3	that talked about key industries here in the State
4	of Colorado and reports that we had from other
5	branches of our legislative body that talked about
6	the amount and percentage of money that comes into
7	our state from people coming into the state, jobs
8	that are here in the state.
9	And one of the most important pieces of
10	this, I believe, is the tourism industry that brings
11	as tremendous amount of money into the state.
12	This is just one of the components in the
13	Governor's Blueprint to make our state one of the
14	best in the nation, along with outdoor recreation
15	and natural resources and energy.
16	Madame Chair, if I may, I I have some
17	letters from constituents and from members of or
18	the citizens of the State of Colorado, just a
19	couple. If I may, if I could read those?
20	THE CHAIRWOMAN: Are they pertaining to
21	House Bill 1229?
22	SENATOR BAUMGARDENER: Yes, Madame Chair,
23	they are.
24	THE CHAIRWOMAN: Yes, sir.
25	SENATOR BAUMGARDENER: Thank you.

1	Understand these were not my words. These
2	are words of a citizen in the State of Colorado.
3	These are boycott letters.
4	It says:
5	"I am a registered Democrat and a
6	lifelong Colorado citizen. I need to let you know
7	that I'm strongly against the current gun laws
8	being proposed. A vote for these laws will do
9	nothing to prevent any of the current tragedies
10	that have happened. In fact, some will actually
11	weaken the law-abiding Colorado citizens from being
12	able to protect themselves, their loved ones, and
13	others if when they when some sick individual
14	tried to copycat what has already happened. They
15	are not common-sense approaches to deal with
16	criminal forces, as the criminals already do not
17	follow the laws we have.
18	More effort is needed to place to

10 More effort is needed to prace to 19 enforce our current gun laws. It is already 20 illegal to knowingly sell or give a gun to a felon 21 or an individual that you know who will commit a 22 crime. Most of these individuals have long 23 histories or a background of criminal behavior, and 24 many are repeat offenders, and they're being let 25 back out on to the streets."

1

And he cites an example:

2 "A couple of years ago, I was broken into 3 by two individuals that among things stolen were 4 three guns. They were arrested later that day and 5 had in their possession some of my property, but of 6 course none of the guns. When arrested, they had 7 several bags of pot, a large amount of cash, and 8 some -- and both were high on drugs. Most likely, 9 they had swapped or sold my guns in some dope deal. 10 "During the interrogation or the 11 questioning by the police, they both admitted and pointed a finger at each other on the break-in and 12 on other break-ins they had committed that same 13 14 night. 15 "The owner of the car was the only one 16 since the property was in the possession of the 17 car, the other one he was the only one that was 18 charged because he had possession of the property. 19 The other one was released, even though he had a 20 prior warrant. 21 "The owner was convicted of a felon. He 22 was out on parole from another county in Colorado

23 with a same, similar of offense.

24 "Again, criminals do not go in and have a25 background check done. They don't go in to gun

dealers and buy a firearm that can be registered.
 They don't go to a gun show where you have to
 register a firearm. They break in, they steal, or
 they get it from another criminal.

5 "During the plea deal, I asked, what had 6 been done to find out what they had done with my 7 guns. They informed me that there was no -- there 8 had been no warrants, and it had not been an issue 9 on any of their other locations. I also asked were 10 they pressing him to divulge what they did with the 11 guns, and what was going to be -- if that was going 12 to be part of the plea agreement to where he could 13 be let off if he plead, and they said no."

14 He also adds: "Now, in we're going to be 15 serious about keeping guns out of the hands of 16 these type of people and off the streets," he 17 asked, "what kind of law enforcement is that? What 18 kind of laws do we need? What type of laws do we 19 have on the books? Do we not need to enforce the 20 laws we have on the books without adding more 21 laws?"

22And he would like your opinions. He23says:

24 "I'm a very proud Coloradan, and I resent25 outside forces like the Bloombergs trying to push

1 their money into Colorado to try to add legislation 2 that will not solve anything. It will weaken the 3 law-abiding citizen's gun rights and turn us into New York or California. Keep Colorado proud and 4 5 maintain our western heritage. 6 "If gun restrictions and laws like this 7 work, then why do Chicago, Washington, D.C., and 8 New York have some of the highest crime rights and 9 have some of the most restrictive laws on the 10 books?" 11 He asks for you to vote against House Bill 1229. 12 13 Madame Chair --14 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Yes, Senator. 15 SENATOR BAUMGARDENER: -- may I proceed 16 with this last letter? 17 THE CHAIRWOMAN: As long as it pertains 18 to 1229, yes. 19 SENATOR BAUMGARDENER: Thank you, Madame 20 Chair. 21 This gentleman spends a lot of time in Colorado. He doesn't live here. He's from Kansas. 22 23 "Dear Colorado Senators, it is our 24 understanding that a representative from Denver, who put forth these bills, which would disarm 25

1 responsible citizens in Colorado has a past --2 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Senator --3 SENATOR BAUMGARDENER: -- "while we 4 respect" --5 THE CHAIRWOMAN: -- is this about 1229? SENATOR BAUMGARDENER: Yes, Madame Chair, 6 7 it is. I did not mention any names. It's not -these are not my words. This is someone that --8 9 thank you -- it is about the bill, thank you. 10 Thank you, Madame Chair, may I continue? 11 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Yes. 12 SENATOR BAUMGARDENER: Thank you, Madame 13 Chair. 14 "I urge you to vote against all gun control bills," House Bill 1229 and other bills 15 16 that I can't mention in the bills that we've 17 already heard. "These bills will do nothing to improve 18 19 safety or reduce crime, and will only serve to 20 further abridge the fundamental rights of 21 responsible Colorado citizens. "Although I am not a resident of 22 23 Colorado, I and my family travel and spend leisure 24 money in your state that will seize, should any new gun-legislation related bills pass. 25

"I am also actively contacting everyone I
know who travels to and spends recreational dollars
in Colorado to consider boycotting your state,
should any of these erroneous bills be passed."
Madame Chair, there's more to this, but I
think I will reserve the right to come back later
and read it, since it pertains to another piece of
legislation.
THE CHAIRWOMAN: Well, thank you, sir.
SENATOR BAUMGARDENER: I would just ask
that we consider House Bill 1229 as a piece of
legislation that's going to affect economic
development in Colorado to the effect that tourism
dollars will be lost because people will not come to
the state, and this is part of our bottom-up or the
Blueprint piece of our economic development. These
bills are going to affect that. So let's be very
careful.
And I would ask for a no vote on 1229.
THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you.
Senator Lundberg.
SENATOR LUNDBERG: Thank you, Madame
Chair.
Jobs, jobs, jobs. House Bill 1229 is not
about jobs, it's about getting rid of jobs. No,

that isn't the specific language that you'll read in the bill, but as you've heard the recent discussion, that is in fact the affect you'll see from the bill, along with all of these other bills.

5 Let me talk to the people in the gallery 6 as well as the Senate, because it's your jobs, it's 7 your economic future, it's your life we're talking 8 about.

9 This bill is a part of a package of bills 10 that are clearly seen by the -- not just the people 11 of Colorado -- but the people of this nation as a--12 as an anti-Second Amendment package. It -- from my 13 understanding, is predicated upon the assumption 14 that the fewer guns we have anywhere, the better off 15 we all are.

Never mind the fact that the Constitution
is very, very clear on that one specific point, both
the U.S. Constitution and the State Constitution.
Never mind the fact that the people of Colorado have
spoken very clearly.

It would be interesting to hear one Senator come down to this microphone and say the overwhelming number of constituents who have contacted me through e-mail and phone calls and personal conversations are urging me to pass this

1 legislation. Is there one of you out here who can 2 attest to that?

3 For my part, I've received thousands of 4 e-mails, thousands. And they are overwhelming. You 5 know, let's say like 90-something percent, 6 95 percent, 99 percent opposed to this legislation. 7 And those from outside of the state very 8 often will add that little note, you know I used to 9 go elk hunting in your state, never again if you 10 cross the line. I don't blame them. If another 11 state were to take this action, I would take that as 12 a you're not welcome sign and take my business 13 elsewhere.

Now, I'll leave the podium here with this one final point to the people of Colorado, and that is: Don't give up on this. Don't give up on us. Don't give up on our state. I, for one, commit to you that any anti-Second Amendment legislation that's passed by this legislature, I will do everything within my power to repeal.

21 And there are many of us, not only on the 22 floor here, but maybe up in the gallery or maybe 23 watching elsewhere, who can come down and join our 24 ranks and make that difference and put Colorado back 25 on the track we need to be, because bills like House

1 Bill 1229 do not deserve to be in the statutes of 2 the State of Colorado, not this land of liberty, not 3 this land of freedom, not this land of opportunity. 4 And even as our founding fathers 5 understood that one of the key elements to the opportunity is that ability to defend yourself, and 6 7 your family, and your property, and your community, and to have that freedom as an individual. 8 9 It's so clearly stated in our constitutions, in our -- the -- the warp and the 10 11 weave of the fabric of our laws from the very 12 founding. All of this contributes to that general 13 direction of -- of personal responsibility rather 14 than the government saying no, we'll take care of it 15 and we'll take care of you too. 16 No, that's not what this nation was founded on. That's not what this state of Colorado 17 18 is all about. That is definitely what the people of 19 Colorado are calling for. I urge each and every 20 Senator to look their constituents in the eye and follow their lead. 21 22 Vote no on 1229. 23 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Senator King. 24 SENATOR KING: Thank you, Madame Chair. Well said, Senator. 25

I'm asked why today is a day of
 dysfunction at the capital. So let's take a look a
 this.

All studies show that the vast majority of 4 5 guns used in crime are obtained either through theft or straw purchases, neither of which will be 6 7 affected by this bill. Criminals, and their use of firearms will not be deterred by this bill. 8 9 And crazy people, I'm sorry, but I'm convinced that the only thing that stops a crazy 10 11 person with a weapon from violence is a same person 12 with a better sight picture. 13 All we are doing with this proposal, with 14 1229, is imposing costs and burdens on law-abiding 15 citizens and not making them safer. 16 Vote no on 1229. 17 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Any further discussion on 18 Senate -- on House Bill 1229? 19 Senator Tochtrop. SENATOR TOCHTROP: Thank you, Madame 20 21 Chair. And I wasn't going to get up and talk on 22 23 any of these bills, but when Senator Cadman brought 24 up Craig, Colorado, and I will tell you I used to live up there, and our son still lives up there, and 25

he is right, they have gorgeous hotels, and hunting
 is -- other than energy, hunting is one of the main
 economies in the northwest part of the state.

4 They've got prized -- prized game that 5 everybody goes after, and in particular your 6 out-of-state hunters who come up. And every year 7 you've got the group that go to the same motels and 8 rent the rooms. In fact, when they had the oil boom 9 a couple years ago, it was interesting because the 10 motels made sure that those rooms, even though they 11 had a lot of the oil people staying at the motels, 12 they had to make sure that they were vacant for 13 their yearly hunters that came up every year. I 14 would venture to say that about 20 percent of sales 15 tax that the City of Craig receives is from hunting 16 season.

17 And it was really kind of ironic, it is a 18 rural area, but when we lived up there, our kids were in high school. And my one son, who is living 19 20 up there now, had a pickup truck. And he would get 21 up in the morning, and he would go deer hunting when 22 it was both seasons, and then go off to school with 23 his rifle on the gun rack, along with many, many of 24 the other students in his school, get off of school 25 and then go hunting again because the deer -- you

like to hunt them dawn and dusk, those of you that
 are hunters.

3 So, you know, I guess, again, I wasn't going to say this, but if -- if this bill affects 4 5 some of the parts of the state that really rely on 6 hunting, I am concerned about it because even though 7 I don't represent that area, I think we need to look very carefully of how we impact the entire state of 8 9 Colorado. 10 And, anyway, I just wanted to make a 11 comment about the hunting -- how hunting is 12 important in many parts of the State of Colorado. 13 THE CHAIRWOMAN: Senator Carroll. 14 MAJORITY LEADER CARROLL: Thank you, 15 Madame Chair. 16 I agree, hunting is important. So 17 everyone who owns a gun can obviously continue to 18 hunt just as they do in Colorado. 19 And, in fact, I'll just remind you there's 20 an exception written in there that even if it's -if it's not your gun, if it's borrowed, again, as 21 22 long as you're not a convicted felon, you can use 23 your own gun, you can borrow a gun, but hunting is 24 one of the explicit exemptions in the bill. 25 Thank you.

1

THE CHAIRWOMAN: Senator Brophy.

SENATOR BROPHY: Well, thank you Madame
 Chair.

And as I said in my first time that I came 4 5 up here -- and I thank the previous senator speaking 6 about the importance of -- of hunting up in the 7 Craig area -- this does impact hunting, because as I mentioned earlier, most hunting trips are for longer 8 9 than 72 hours. So this bill still has a cast of 10 negative impact upon hunting. 11 And -- and we're -- we're all getting 12 e-mails from all over the country. People that come 13 to the state to -- to -- for tourist activities 14 swearing they're going to Wyoming and Montana if we 15 pass this package of bills. They're paying 16 attention to all of them, and specifically to -- to this bill, the constitutionally of the ability of a 17 police officer to call into question your right to 18 19 possess a firearm because you may or may not be able 20 to prove that you legally obtained a background 21 check on it. Which brings me to a communication that I 22 23 had from a friend of mine up in Longmont asking very 24 clearly, Why are checks tied to guns? Shouldn't

25 they be tied to people? Why aren't the bad guys

1 being watched instead of the good guys being 2 watched? Why can't the police officers check to see if the person that they have pulled over is a felon 3 and not allowed to own a gun. That's the solution 4 5 that the people of Colorado like. 6 And they're paying attention outside of the state and inside of this state. You have seen 7 all of the e-mails that you received. I just 8 9 distributed to each and every one of you a poll 10 result memo. It looks like this, Public Opinion 11 Strategies. 12 And they polled specifically about all the 13 bills as a package, and this one, and especially the 14 one that we're going to take up in a couple of 15 bills. This is important. Two-thirds of likely 16 voters say that they are very or fairly closely 17 watching the progress of these bills as they move 18 through the legislature. Two-thirds of the people 19 of Colorado either oppose them outright or think

20 that they go too far. Like this bill does. It goes
21 too far.

It was supposed to be about background checks, but it doesn't even allow you to loan a firearm to a friend for more than three days. It calls into question whether or not anybody wants to

1 bring a firearm to the State of Colorado.

2 What if law enforcement start -- starts 3 harassing out-of-state hunters who don't even know 4 about this law? Well, that's not likely, because 5 this is the -- did you guys see the Outdoor Life 6 Channel letter? Colorado is the number one topic at 7 a director's meeting they're having in Texas later 8 this month.

9 The eyes of the nation are upon us. What we do here today matters to everybody, just like it 10 11 matters to a former constituent of mine, now she's 12 in senate district 35, because you guys stole her 13 from me. A brand new county commissioner from 14 Prowers County, who, along with about 49 other 15 people from La Mar, drove all the way up here last 16 Monday to have their voices heard on the bills that 17 they believe are unconstitutional, like this one. "Hi. I am Wendy Buxton-Andrade." She 18 19 had -- she had prepared a statement that she wanted 20 to give us to everybody. 21 "I'm a county commissioner in Prowers 22 County just as you are. I'm an elected official 23 and sworn to uphold the Constitution of the United

25 rights of all American citizens to bear arms.

States and the Second Amendment that upholds the

24

1	"As we are all elected officials, I am
2	asking you to uphold our American rights set forth
3	by our forefathers. Passing any bills that limit
4	our ability to bare arms is against our
5	Constitution and the rights of law-abiding
6	citizens."
7	The eyes of the entire nation are upon
8	Colorado. All of your constituents are watching
9	what we do here today, and a large majority of
10	them, nearly two-thirds, oppose the things that you
11	are imposing upon them.
12	Vote no on 1229.
13	THE CHAIRWOMAN: Thank you.
14	Senator Crowder.
15	SENATOR CROWDER: Thank you, Madame Chair.
16	I I just had a quick question for the
17	sponsor and anybody who supports this bill. We've
18	heard from the people that oppose this about the
19	bill the businesses that are leaving the state of
20	Colorado. What I was wondering if you could tell us
21	of any businesses wanting to locate in Colorado due
22	to this bill?
23	Thank you.
24	THE CHAIRWOMAN: Any further discussion on House
25	Bill 1229?

1	Seeing none, the motion before you is to adopt
2	House Bill 1229.
3	All those in favor say aye.
4	All those opposed, no.
5	The ayes have it, and it is adopted.
6	(Whereupon, the recording was concluded.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF COLORADO)
3	CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER) ss.
4	
5	I, Elissa Steen, Registered Professional
6	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of
7	Colorado, do hereby certify that this transcript was taken
8	in shorthand by me from an audio recording and was reduced
9	to typewritten form by computer-aided transcription; that
10	the speakers in this transcript were identified by me to
11	the best of my ability and according to the introductions
12	made and written materials provided; that the foregoing is
13	a true transcript of the proceedings had; that I am not
14	attorney, nor counsel, nor in any way connected with any
15	attorney or counsel for any of the parties to said action
16	or otherwise interested in its event.
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed
18	my hand and notarial seal this 21st day of June, 2013.
19	
20	
21	
22	Registered Professional Reporter
	and
23	Notary Public
24	
25	